#### Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 609 (2022) 852-867



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Colloid and Interface Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcis

## Predictive surface complexation model of the calcite-aqueous solution interface: The impact of high concentration and complex composition of brines



Jan Vinogradov <sup>a,\*</sup>, Miftah Hidayat <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Mohammad Sarmadivaleh <sup>b</sup>, Jos Derksen <sup>a</sup>, David Vega-Maza <sup>a,c</sup>, Stefan Iglauer <sup>d,e</sup>, Damien Jougnot <sup>f</sup>, Mohamed Azaroual <sup>g,h</sup>, Philippe Leroy <sup>h</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Aberdeen, School of Engineering, Elphinstone Road, AB24 3UE Aberdeen, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Curtin University, Discipline of Petroleum Engineering, 26 Dick Perry Avenue, 6151 Kensington, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Edith Cowan University, Centre for Sustainable Energy and Resources, 270 Joondalup Drive, 6027 Joondalup, Australia

<sup>e</sup> Edith Cowan University, School of Engineering, 270 Joondalup Drive, 6027 Joondalup, Australia

<sup>f</sup>Sorbonne Université, CNRS, EPHE, UMR 7619 METIS, F-75005 Paris, France

<sup>g</sup> Université d'Orléans, Univ. Orléans, CNRS, BRGM, ISTO, UMR 7327, F-45071 Orléans, France

<sup>h</sup> BRGM, French Geological Survey, 45100 Orléans, France

#### G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T



#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 September 2021 Revised 29 October 2021 Accepted 16 November 2021 Available online 20 November 2021

*Keywords:* Zeta potential Calcite

#### \* Corresponding authors.

ABSTRACT

Electrochemical interactions at calcite-water interface are characterized by the zeta potential and play an important role in many subsurface applications. In this work we report a new physically meaningful surface complexation model that is proven to be efficient in predicting calcite-water zeta potentials for a wide range of experimental conditions.

Our model uses a two-stage optimization for matching experimental observations. First, equilibrium constants are optimized, and the Stern layer capacitance is optimized in the second stage. The model is applied to a variety of experimental sets that correspond to intact natural limestones saturated with equilibrated solutions of low-to-high salinity, and crushed Iceland Spar sample saturated with NaCl at non-equilibrium conditions.

E-mail addresses: jan.vinogradov@abdn.ac.uk, janvin71@gmail.com (J. Vinogradov), miftah.hidayat.16@aberdeen.ac.uk, miftah.hidayat.tm122@gmail.com (M. Hidayat).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2021.11.084 0021-9797/© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> University of Valladolid, School of Engineering, TermoCal, BioEcoUva, Valladolid, Spain

Aqueous solutions Surface complexation model High salinity Complex composition Predictive model Stern layer capacitance The proposed linear correlation of the Stern layer capacitance with the ionic strength is the main novel contribution to our surface complexation model without which high salinity experiments cannot be modelled. Our model is fully predictive given accurately known conditions. Therefore, the reported parameters and modelling protocol are of significant importance for improving our understanding of the complex calcite-water interfacial interactions. The findings provide a robust tool to predict electrochemical properties of calcite-water interfaces, which are essential for many subsurface applications including hydrology, geothermal resources, CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration and hydrocarbon recovery.

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Calcite is a very common mineral on the Earth's surface comprising approximately 4% by weight of Earth's crust. Physicochemical processes that take place in porous calcite-aqueous solution (water for simplicity) systems are of great importance for a variety of scientific and technological areas. Properties of the calcite mineral surface and the interface between calcite and aqueous solution are important for a broad range of applications including geological storage of nuclear waste and CO<sub>2</sub> [1], freshwater aquifer management [2,3], hydrocarbon production [4], paper production [5]. However, electrochemical properties of the interfaces between calcite and aqueous solutions (specifically, electrical surface charge and zeta potential), especially under conditions relevant to natural subsurface systems (i.e., water chemical composition, temperature, pressure) remain poorly understood because of their microscopic nature, the high reactivity of the calcite mineral, and the lack of relevant measurements and models [6].

The zeta potential is defined as the electrical potential at the shear plane when water flow occurs parallel to the pore surface [7]. It is most commonly used to estimate the electrochemical properties of calcite because acid-base potentiometric titration, usually employed to measure the surface charge of minerals, is extremely difficult to carry out for highly reactive calcite [8]. There are many studies that have reported measurement of the zeta potential of various calcite-water systems. However, many reported results are contradictory (see for example Fig. 3 in Jackson et al. [9]). Most of the experimental studies have reported zeta potential measured with low salinity single-salt solutions (e.g., [10,11]), and there is inconsistency in the experimental conditions when some experiments were conducted at equilibrium between the mineral and water while others reported the zeta potential with non-equilibrium solutions (see for example discussion in Section 3 and 4 Al Mahrouqi et al. [12]). The experimental studies have also employed a variety of electrokinetic methods including the electrophoretic mobility (EPM [13]) and streaming potential method (SPM; [14]). Each of these experimental techniques suffers from several limitations [15]. The EPM applies an electrical field (of magnitude hundred Volts per meter) that mobilizes charged particles relative to aqueous solution and measuring the resulting mobility. The EPM is conducted on powdered calcite samples suspended in aqueous solution thus potentially exposing fresh mineral surfaces. Moreover, the method is usually limited to solution concentration below 1 M (M = mol/L) [16]. The ratio of the mineral to the solution is also very low (typically few grams per liter) and not representative of real rocks. On the other hand, the SPM applies a pressure difference across the sample and measures a resulting voltage, it can be carried out under equilibrium conditions, on intact rock samples at salinities up to full saturation (e.g., [17,18]). However, unlike the EPM method, SPM can be time consuming and very challenging for highly reactive minerals such as calcite in highly saline conditions thus limiting its ability to cover a wide range of rock-solution permutations (see for example typical duration of both the equilibration process and individual SPM

experiment, as well as the voltage stability issues at high salinity reported in Alroudhan et al. [19]). Moreover, the zeta potential values obtained with SPM can be specific to rock type as different carbonate samples may contain different impurities such as anhydrite and dolomite (e.g., [12]).

A model capable of predicting the zeta potential of calcite-water systems at equilibrium as well as non-equilibrium conditions, low to high salinity, and complex solution composition is crucially important. Such model will not only be capable of predicting the zeta potential, but also improve our understanding of the complex electrochemical processes that take place at calcite-water interface. To date, there have been numerous attempts to develop such model with most of published studies using the so-called surface complexation modelling (SCM) approach (e.g., [6,10,11]). In this method the calcite surface is presented as an ensemble of chemically active surface sites that interact with ions from the adjacent bulk electrolyte. The strength of these interactions is described by the equilibrium constants (similar to chemical reactions), so that the resulting equilibrium concentration of positive and negative surface complexes establishes the net surface charge and the corresponding surface potential [20]. Depending on the complexity of the aqueous solution, such models can be realized analytically (e.g., [21]) or by using numerical methods already available in software packages such as PHREEQC (e.g., [22]).

Studies published to date on SCM have used different approaches to model the zeta potential (Fig. 1): Basic Stern Model (BSM, e.g., [10]), Triple Layer Model (TLM, e.g., [23]), Quad-Layer Model (QLM, e.g. [24]) and Diffuse Layer Model (DLM, e.g. [25]). BSM, TLM and QLM explicitly describe the Stern layer and a layered arrangement of ionic species adsorbed on the mineral surface in the diffuse layer. Both the TLM and QLM distinguish between the Inner Helmholtz plane (IHP) and the Outer Helmholtz plane (OHP), at which adsorption of de-hydrated and hydrated ions, respectively, takes place [23]. On the other hand, BSM for calcite assumes that adsorption of all ions in compact layer takes place at the OHP (e.g., [6,10,11]). All three models that explicitly describe the adsorption layers (i.e., BSM, TLM, QLM) also assume that only protonation/deprotonation of hydrated calcite lattice ions take place at the mineral surface. In these models, the surface charge densities are computed at each plane, from which linear, capacitor-like variation of the electric potential between the planes is obtained. Consequently, TLM and QLM simulate separately protonation/deprotonation and salt ion adsorption reactions at three different planes (mineral surface, IHP, OHP), and ascribe two capacitance values to layers confined between the mineral surface and IHP, and between IHP and OHP. In addition, the QLM considers a stagnant diffuse layer, implying that the shear plane is located further away from the OHP. This makes these two models more computationally expensive compared with BSM, which uses only one capacitance and all adsorption reactions are considered to take place at OHP for calcite.

On the other hand, DLM assumes that both the protonation/deprotonation and salt ion adsorption reactions take place at the mineral surface thus ignoring the complexity of the Stern layer that



**Fig. 1.** Electrical potential distribution at the calcite-water interface: a) – BSM (no distinction between IHP and OHP, the slip plane may or may not coincide with OHP); b) – TLM (IHP and OHP are considered separately with different surface complexation reactions taking place at each plane, the slip plane coincides with OHP); c) – QLM (IHP and OHP are considered separately with different surface complexation reactions taking place at each plane, the slip plane does not coincide with OHP and its distance from OHP can be constant or varying with salinity); d) – DLM (IHP and OHP are not considered at all as if there is no separation between the mineral surface and OHP, the slip plane may or may not coincide with mineral/OHP).

comprises the IHP and OHP. Hence, compared to the BSM, TLM, and QLM, the DLM is not sensitive to the capacitance values and is only sensitive to the location of the so-called shear (or slip) plane at which ions can be mobilized by the flow and at which the electric potential is defined as the zeta potential. According to the generally agreed theory of the electrical double layer, concentrations of counter-ions that populate the region beyond the OHP (in the diffuse layer) obey the Boltzmann distribution law where they decrease exponentially towards concentrations of ions in electroneutral bulk electrolyte (outside the diffuse layer). The location of the slip plane is uncertain and is usually used as a fitting parameter to match the model predictions to experimental data [10]. The assumed location of the slip plane can also be used in BSM, TLM and QLM as additional fitting parameter, but in contrast with DLM the modelled zeta potential using these models depends on adjustable capacitance values and therefore, the location of the slip plane can be kept constant for matching different experimental datasets. The QLM published by Alizadeh and Wang [24] assumed that the slip plane was dynamic and moved further away from the mineral surface as the ionic strength of the bulk electrolyte decreased. Although the dynamic slip plane model successfully reproduced experimental results, the hypothesis was not clearly justified by the authors or confirmed experimentally.

However, despite the plethora of published SCM studies, all of the simulated results appear to be specific to an experimental dataset. Heberling and co-authors [6,10] used fractional charges of individual surface site, the approach that was consistent with

that taken by Wolthers et al. [23], who used the Charge Distribution MUltiSite Ion Complexation or CD-MUSIC modeling approach. However, the magnitude of the surface site charges was different. On the other hand, Van Cappellen et al. [26] used integer surface site charges in their BSM. Furthermore, Heberling et al. [6,10] used equilibrium constants for adsorption reactions at OHP limited by ion-binding ones that corresponded to pairing of ions in the bulk electrolyte. In contrast, Pokrovsky and Schott [27] estimated their equilibrium constants for adsorption reactions from the correlation between stability of surface sites and stability of the same molecules in the solution. As a result, the equilibrium constants used by Pokrovsky and Schott [27] in their BSM exceeded by several orders of magnitude those used by Heberling et al. [6,10] in their BSM. Considering the equilibrium constants of protonation/deprotonation reactions that take place at the mineral surface, a significant variation in their values was also evident across different studies. For instance, Heberling et al. [10] used five-fold larger equilibrium constant for deprotonation of hydrated Ca site compared with their later study [6]. At the same time, Wolthers et al. [23] used twelve orders of magnitude larger equilibrium constant for the same reaction compared with Heberling et al. [10].

Previously published studies that used either BSM or TLM relied on constant Stern layer capacitance, but the reported values used in these studies varied between  $0.45 \text{ F/m}^2$ ,  $1.24 \text{ F/m}^2$  [11], 17 F/m<sup>2</sup> [27], 52 F/m<sup>2</sup> [28] and 100 F/m<sup>2</sup> [23]. Therefore, the inconsistency in these values suggests a high degree of freedom and unconstrained variation of simulated zeta potential. Moreover, most of the published SCM simulated the zeta potential of calcite in contact with low salinity (typically less than 0.1 M) single salt solutions (e.g., NaCl) therefore ignoring the effect of divalent ions such as Mg<sup>2+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and high ionic strength. Studies that included such reactions reported inconsistent equilibrium constants, with Heberling et al. [6] predicting adsorption of Ca<sup>2+</sup> on negative surface sites to be controlled by an equilibrium constant ten orders of magnitude smaller compared with the equilibrium constant calculated from two consecutive reactions described by Pokrovsky et al. [28] and Pokrovsky and Schott [29]. All in all, a unique combination of adsorption reactions, their equilibrium constants, capacitance values and charges of the surface sites used in published studies appear to be very specific to the modelled experimental datasets, thus limiting the use of each model for a very narrow range of ionic strength, pH and composition of electrolytes in contact with the calcite mineral.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to develop and validate a new SCM that accurately computes the zeta potential of any calcitewater system as long as the experimental conditions are reproduced in the model. The developed model has proven to be accurate in simulating and predicting zeta potentials at both equilibrium and non-equilibrium conditions, high to low salinity, for any carbonate rock type as long as the dominating mineral is calcite, and for any solution composition as long as the dominating salt is NaCl and concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  does not exceed that of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>.

#### 2. Methodology

To develop a robust predictive model requires detailed description of experimental parameters and conditions. Therefore, we have chosen the data reported by Al Mahrouqi et al. [12] as the most comprehensive experimental work and will briefly describe the experimental conditions and main conclusions.

# 2.1. Description of the experimental data on zeta potential in intact limestone samples

Streaming potential measurements were performed by Al Mahrouqi et al. [12] and Alroudhan et al. [19] on three different carbonate rock samples: Estaillades [12], Ketton [12] and Portland [19]. The petrophysical and mineralogical properties of the rock samples are shown in Table 1.

The zeta potential measurements were conducted at room temperature (23 °C) with NaCl solutions of varying concentration between 0.05 M (mol/L) and 5.0 M NaCl at equilibrium conditions. Effluent fluid samples were regularly collected for chemical analyses to determine the concentration of Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, and SO<sup>2</sup><sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>.

#### Table 1

Petrophysical and mineralogical properties of three different carbonate rock samples. Ketton and Estaillades were used in the experiments of by Al Mahrouqi et al. [12], Portland was used by Alroudhan et al. [19].

Property/rock	Ketton	Estaillades	Portland
Porosity (%) Permeability (Darcy)	23.0 ± 0.5 1.4 ± 0.4	$28.0 \pm 0.5$ $0.13 \pm 0.2$	20.0 ± 0.5 0.005 ± 0.001
Formation factor (F) Composition (%)	13.87 ± 0.5 97ª – calcite 3ª magnesium	$\begin{array}{l} 12.92 \pm 0.5 \\ 97^{a} \ (95^{b}) - \text{ calcite} \\ 3^{a} \ (4^{b}) - \text{ magnesium} \\ (1^{b}) - \text{ anhydrite} \end{array}$	22.04 ± 0.5 96.6 <sup>a</sup> – calcite 3.4 <sup>a</sup> – quartz

**a** – mineralogy reported by Al Mahrouqi et al. [12] using X-ray diffraction (XRD). Magnesium was reported to be likely incorporated into the limestone as dolomite. **b** – recent study by Udoh and Vinogradov [30], identified and confirmed that there was 4% dolomite (CaMg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) and 1% anhydrite (CaSO<sub>4</sub>) in the Estaillades sample using XRD. The experimentally confirmed presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> was explained by partial dissolution of rock samples during the equilibration with NaCl solutions and leaching of these ions.

These studies concluded that the zeta potential of carbonate rock samples was controlled by the concentration of the potential determining ions (PDIs), which were identified to be  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ , and  $SO_4^{2-}$ .

### 2.2. Calcite surface complexation model development

#### 2.2.1. Basic definitions and assumptions

A BSM was used in this study to model the zeta potential of pure calcite in contact with aqueous solutions. The modelling approach was consistent with the most comprehensive and empirically justified model developed by Heberling et al. [6,10]. Since the content of calcite in all three tested carbonate rock samples (Estaillades, Ketton, Portland) was found to be greater than 95%, it was assumed that calcite was the most dominant mineral in the samples so that only calcite surface sites were considered in the model.

The measured zeta potential with all tested carbonate rocks reflected presence of minerals other than calcite (e.g., dolomite and anhydrite), which led to a non-zero concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  found in the bulk solution as a result of mineral dissolution during the equilibration process. Therefore, we artificially added the equilibrium concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  measured in the experiment to our geochemical model of pure calcite. The amount of  $Ca^{2+}$  leached into NaCl solutions during the equilibration was either added artificially to the model and equilibration was switched off, or it was not added to the initial solution while the equilibration was activated (see Section 2.3 for details).

Consistent with Heberling et al. [6,10], calcite (104) face was assumed to dominate the interface due to its high abundance and the total surface site density of calcium and carbonate surface sites on the calcite (104) face was set to 4.95 sites per nm<sup>2</sup> for each type of site. However, unlike Heberling et al. [6], this study assumed that the zeta potential could be calculated by considering the shear plane coinciding with the OHP (see Fig. 2), i.e.,  $\phi_{\beta} = \phi_d = \zeta$  – the assumption that was also used by Li et al. [11] (no stagnant diffuse layer). Li et al. [11] considered that the assumption of the presence of a stagnant diffuse layer in Heberling et al. [10] was due to the nature of electrokinetic measurements that were not corrected for surface conductivity effects thus reducing the experimental zeta potentials and then necessitating smaller modelled zeta potentials to be further away from the mineral surface, to match the data.

The 0-plane was defined as the hydrolysis layer located 2.3 Å from the calcite surface defined by the surface of calcium ions, at which only protonation/deprotonation of surface sites took place [6]. The surface charge at 0-plane was found to be negative regardless of the concentration and composition of the adjacent solution and pH range of 5–11 tested in our study, similar to the results reported by Heberling et al. [6]. On the other hand, the  $\beta$ -plane was set at a distance of 4.6 Å from the surface [6], and we assumed that all the specific adsorption reactions between the surface sites and salt ions from the bulk electrolyte only occurred at the OHP where ions are adsorbed as outer-sphere complexes.

#### 2.2.2. Adsorption reactions and equilibrium constants

All modelled adsorption reactions between calcite surface sites and ions are listed in Table 2. The original set of adsorption reactions proposed by Heberling et al. [6,10] and Li et al. [11] was extended to include the reactions between the surface sites and  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  (R9 – R11 in Table 2). The equilibrium constant of R1 that corresponds to deprotonation of hydrated calcium surface sites was fixed to the value of  $LogK_1 = -0.5$  to yield the relative

J. Vinogradov, M. Hidayat, M. Sarmadivaleh et al.



**Fig. 2.** Illustration of the Basic Stern Model used in this study. The 0-plane corresponds to the mineral surface where only protonation/deprotonation reactions take place. We used fractional surface charge  $\times = 0.5$  for all surface sites. The Stern Layer is confined between the 0-plane and  $\beta$ -plane, with the latter corresponding to the OHP where all adsorption reactions take place and where the zeta potential ( $\varsigma$ ) is defined. *C<sub>st</sub>* is the Stern layer capacitance.

abundance of positive and negative surface complexes at 0-plane according to Heberling et al. [6].

Among published studies on SCM of calcite, there is no consensus on whether protonation of  $> CO_3^{-0.5}$  surface sites on 0-plane

should be considered. Some of these studies included the reaction  $> \text{CO}_3^{-0.5} + \text{H}^+ \Longleftrightarrow > \text{CO}_3\text{H}^{+0.5}$  (e.g., [10,23,27]), while other studies (e.g., [6,11]) disregarded it. We could not find any published justification for inclusion of  $> CO_3^{-0.5}$  protonation based on the experimental data or theoretical analysis. However, there have been several publications in support of excluding the protonation of the  $> CO_3^{-0.5}$  surface sites. One theoretical study on hydration of (104) calcite surface [31] demonstrated that neutral H<sub>2</sub>O molecules preferentially 'chemisorbed' into  $> Ca^{+0.5}$  to form  $> CaOH_2^{+0.5}$ site, and existence of  $> CO_3 H^{+0.5}$  surface sites was attributed to hydration of carbonate surface groups (>  $CO_3OH_2^{-0.5}$ ) but not direct protonation of the  $> CO_3^{-0.5}$  sites. Therefore, in the absence of  $> CO_3OH_2^{-0.5}$  surface sites there could be no  $> CO_3H^{+0.5}$  sites, which could indeed result from subsequent dissociation of water molecules sorbed onto carbonate surface sites, i.e.:  $> CO_3OH_2^{-0.5} \iff > CO_3H^{+0.5} + OH^-$ . These conclusions were supported by a variety of experimental measurements reported in the paper. Another numerical study [32] also predicted low fraction of protonated  $> CO_3 H^{+0.5}$  sites (<20%) on a variety of calcite mineral geometries for pH between 5 and 11, which is consistent with pH values tested with our model. For pH greater than 7, the fraction of protonated carbonate sites decreased to below 5% according to this study. Moreover, inclusion of the  $> CO_3^{-0.5}$  surface site protonation would result in increased pH under simulated equilibrium conditions, thus mismatching the experimentally measured values. Effect of  $> CO_3^{-0.5}$  protonation on equilibrium pH will be discussed in more detail in Section 3. For these reasons and following Heberling et al., [6] we did not consider hydration of carbonate surface sites in our model.

For reactions R2 – R11 we identified ranges of possible variation of equilibrium constants. The ranges were based on previously published values thus defining the minimum and maximum possible equilibrium constant for each reaction, LogK<sup>min</sup> and LogK<sup>max</sup>, respectively. Note, that due to lack of published information on some equilibrium constants, their maximum and minimum values were assumed to be similar to those of other adsorption reactions or calculated from published values of consecutive reactions (see caption of Table 2 for details).

We used the Pitzer theory (pitzer.dat database of PHREEQC [36,37]) to calculate the ion activity coefficients for all complexation reactions that take place in the bulk solution at high ionic strength systems (>0.5 M). For ionic strengths below 0.5 M it was found that there was no noticeable difference in the modelled results obtained using either the Debye-Huckel (phreeqc.dat database of PHREEQC) or Pitzer theory to calculate the ion activity coefficients. Therefore, the Pitzer theory was used throughout the entire range of tested ionic strengths.

# 2.2.3. BSM – capacitance of the Stern layer and electric potential distribution within EDL

The electric potential distribution within the Stern layer, between 0-plane and  $\beta$ -plane, is described by a linear variation, similar to the concept of two parallel plates capacitor which it can be expressed as follows:

$$\sigma_0 = \mathcal{C}_{SL}(\phi_0 - \phi_\beta) \tag{1}$$

where  $\sigma_0$  is the surface charge density at 0-plane (C·m<sup>-2</sup>),  $C_{SL}$  is the specific Stern layer capacitance (or Stern layer capacitance for simplicity) between 0-plane and  $\beta$ -plane (F·m<sup>-2</sup>),  $\phi_0$  is the electric potential at 0-plane (V) and  $\phi_\beta$  is the electric potential at  $\beta$ -plane (V). The Stern layer capacitance can also be expressed as:

$$C_{SL} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r}{\chi},\tag{2}$$

#### Table 2

A .1				41 t			E		f D*			a set to a to a d
Anson	מחזה	reactions	and	rneir .	eannnuntinn	constants	Fammerum	CONSTANT	TOP R	1 11/201	IOT I	oprimised
10301	puon	reactions	anu	uncin	cquinbrium	constants.	Lyumbhum	constant	IOI IC.	i vvas i	101	opumiscu

No	Reactions	Log <i>K</i> <sup>min</sup>	Log <i>K</i> <sup>max</sup>	Log <i>K</i> <sup>opt</sup>
R1	0-plane reactions $> CaOH_2^{+0.5} \iff > CaOH^{-0.5} + H^+$ $\beta$ -plane reactions	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5
R2	$>$ CaOH <sup>-0.5</sup> + Na <sup>+</sup> $\iff$ $>$ CaOH <sup>-0.5</sup> - Na <sup>+</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>b</sup>	0.56
R3	$> CaOH_2^{+0.5} + Cl^- \iff > CaOH_2^{+0.5} - Cl^-$	-2.10 <sup>c</sup>	0.45 <sup>d</sup>	-0.64
R4	$> CaOH^{-0.5} + Ca^{2+} \iff > CaOH^{-0.5} - Ca^{2+}$	1.68 <sup>b</sup>	3.40 <sup>e</sup>	3.40
R5	$> CaOH_2^{+0.5} + HCO_3^- \iff > CaOH_2^{+0.5} - HCO_3^-$	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	12.50 <sup>f</sup>	10.65
R6	$> CaOH_2^{+0.5} + CO_3^{2-} \iff > CaOH_2^{+0.5} - CO_3^{2-}$	$-7.07^{b}$	6.00 <sup>g</sup>	-4.59
R7	$> \mathrm{CO}_3^{-0.5} + \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \iff > \mathrm{CO}_3^{-0.5} - \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$	1.68 <sup>b</sup>	3.40 <sup>h</sup>	3.40
R8	$> \mathrm{CO}_3^{-0.5} + \mathrm{Na^+} \iff > \mathrm{CO}_3^{-0.5} - \mathrm{Na^+}$	0.56 <sup>b</sup>	3.40 <sup>i</sup>	0.56
R9	$>$ CaOH <sup>-0.5</sup> + Mg <sup>2+</sup> $\iff$ $>$ CaOH <sup>-0.5</sup> - Mg <sup>2+</sup>	1.66 <sup>a</sup>	3.40 <sup>j</sup>	2.81
R10	$> CaOH_2^{+0.5} + SO_4^{2-} \iff > CaOH_2^{+0.5} - SO_4^{2-}$	-2.10 <sup>g</sup>	3.30 <sup>k</sup>	3.30 → 11.9
R11	$> CO_3^{-0.5} + Mg^{2+} \iff > CO_3^{-0.5} - Mg^{2+}$	1.68 <sup>1</sup>	3.40 <sup>1</sup>	2.81

**a** – Song et al. [33]. **b** – Heberling et al. [6].

 $\mathbf{c}$  – only one value for the equilibrium constant for R3 was found in the literature (0.45), hence we considered LogK<sup>min</sup> to be equal to that of R10 due to similarity.

**d** – Li et al. [11].

e - only one value for the equilibrium constant for R8 was found in the literature (1.68), hence we considered LogK<sup>max</sup> to be equal to that of R7 due to similarity.

f - combined from two reactions reported in Pokrovsky and Schott [29].

g – Qiao et al. [34].

h - combined from two reactions reported in Pokrovsky et al. [28].

i – only one value for the equilibrium constant for R8 was found in the literature (0.56), hence we considered LogK<sup>max</sup> to be equal to that of R7 due to similarity.

j - only one value for the equilibrium constant for R9 was found in the literature (1.66), hence we considered LogK<sup>max</sup> to be equal to that of R4 due to similarity.

**k** – Qiao et al. [35].

I – this is a new additional reaction introduced in our model with no values for the equilibrium constant found in the literature, hence we assumed both LogK<sup>max</sup> to be equal to those of R7 due to similarity.

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is vacuum permittivity (F·m<sup>-1</sup>),  $\varepsilon_r$  is relative permittivity of the Stern layer and x is the distance between 0-plane and  $\beta$ -plane or the thickness of the Stern layer (m). Beyond the  $\beta$ -plane, in the diffuse layer, the electrical potential distribution is described using the Gouy-Chapman theory based on the Poisson-Boltzmann equation. The electrical potential magnitude decreases exponentially with the distance from the mineral surface and ion concentrations follow a Boltzmann distribution.

#### 2.2.4. Two-step optimization

Our model was developed through a two-step optimization process applied to experimental zeta potential results obtained with Estaillades and Ketton rock samples saturated with equilibrated NaCl solutions of ionic strengths between 0.05 M and 5 M.

The first-step optimization aimed at determining the equilibrium constants of R2-R11, while the  $\log K_{R1}$  and the Stern layer capacitance ( $C_{SL}$ ) were kept constant and equal to -0.5 and 1.24F/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively, in accordance with the study of Li et al. [11]. The optimization of equilibrium constants of the surface complexation reactions and Stern layer capacitance was a necessary step, as previous studies considered either low salinity (e.g., [11]) or moderate salinity solutions (e.g., seawater model by Song et al. [33]) using different sets of the parameters for each ionic strength, thus making their models suitable to very specific experimental conditions. To the best of our knowledge there has been no study that tried SCM for a range of salinities, and especially for ionic strengths above 0.5 M which are typical for many subsurface settings. The first-step optimization was conducted in the following manner:

• Concentration of all ions (Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>) and pH reported by Al Mahrouqi et al. [12] were used as input parameters. The concentration of carbon related ions (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>), termed C(4) ions in PHREEQC, was not reported in the corresponding paper, therefore it was calculated from the charge balance equation. It was also assumed that the calculated C(4) concentration could exceed that of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and C(4) ions that orig-

inate from the dissolved atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous solution at equilibrium with air. This assumption is consistent with the experimentally confirmed dissolution of CaCO<sub>3</sub> during the equilibration process that resulted in  $10^{-3}$  M of dissolved Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and hence the same concentration of C(4) [12,19], which is higher than the equilibrium concentration of 1.5 ×  $10^{-5}$ M of C(4) in solution at equilibrium with air [11].

- The dissolution and precipitation of calcite was switched off at this optimization step by implementing PHREEQC code 'Calcite 0 0' in 'equilibrium\_phases' section [6,22].
- The R2 R11 equilibrium constants were optimized by minimizing the objective function, *f*, that defines the normalized difference between the observed and the simulated zeta potential and pH:

$$f = \sum \left(\frac{\zeta_{obs} - \zeta_{sim}}{\delta_{\zeta}}\right)^2 + \sum \left(\frac{pH_{obs} - pH_{sim}}{\delta_{pH}}\right)^2$$
(3)

where  $\zeta_{obs}$  is the observed zeta potential (mV),  $\zeta_{sim}$  is the simulated zeta potential (mV),  $\delta_{\zeta}$  is the experimental uncertainty of the zeta potential (mV),  $\beta_{\zeta}$  is the observed pH,  $pH_{sim}$  is the simulated pH and  $\delta_{pH}$  is the experimental uncertainty of pH. Note that pH was included in the objective function since the computed value for a given set of equilibrium constants could be different from the input (experimental) value. Therefore, both directly measured properties (zeta potential and pH) were included in Eq. (3). The optimization was conducted by combining the PHREEQC geochemical code with an optimization software, PEST, in which the Gauss Marquardt Levenberg method was implemented.

• The optimization was repeated three times, with the initial values of all equilibrium constants being either i) the minimum found in literature (LogK<sup>min</sup>), ii) the maximum reported in the literature (LogK<sup>max</sup>), or iii) the median within the tested range (see more details in Table 2 caption). This repetitive optimization procedure was required to find the global minimum of *f*, which corresponded to the best match between the experimen-

tally measured and computed zeta potential and pH, so that the equilibrium constants that corresponded to the smallest f were taken forward.

• The results of the first step optimization procedure are shown in Table 2 (LogK<sup>opt</sup> column).

It was found that the calculated zeta potentials of Estaillades and Ketton were positive except for Estaillades at ionic strength below 1 M. In contrast, the experimental results showed that the zeta potential of both rock samples remained negative at low ionic strength to become less negative/positive at high ionic strength (Fig. 3a). Moreover, the calculated Ketton zeta potential was more positive compared with that of Estaillades, while the experimental data showed an opposite trend. The more positive zeta potential measured in Estaillades sample could not be explained by different rates of calcite dissolution as the equilibrium Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration was found to be higher in Ketton sample (Fig. 9a in Al Mahrouqi et al. [12]), which would imply a more positive zeta potential, consistent with our model.

However, a considerably higher concentration of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> was also reported in experiments with Ketton (Fig. 9b in Al Mahrougi et al. [12]), and more negative zeta potential compared with that of Estaillades sample was attributed to it. The authors demonstrated that the zeta potential was not very sensitive to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentration (Fig. 8b in Al-Mahrougi et al. [12]), as long as concentration of all other ions remained unchanged thus implying that high sensitivity to  $SO_4^2$  only took place when sulfate content was less or equal to that of the divalent cations. However, the paper did not consider a possibility of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions acting as bridges to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ions [38–40] thus enhancing sulfate adsorption when elevated concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> is found in bulk solution. Such bridging ability of Ca<sup>2+</sup> implies that higher concertation of Ca<sup>2+</sup> (and/or  $Mg^{2+}$ ) combined with higher concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  (as was observed by Al Mahrouqi et al. [12]) should result in overall higher adsorption of sulfate and more negative zeta potential. Keeping in mind that dissolved  $SO_4^{2-}$  could only originate from undetected minerals such as anhydrite (CaSO<sub>4</sub>) or epsomite (MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O), a higher concentration of sulfate ions would always be accompanied by a higher equilibrium concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and/or Mg<sup>2+</sup> as it was indeed reported by Al-Mahrouqi et al. [12]. Therefore, we assumed that the reactivity of calcite to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> was considerably higher for rock samples that leached more sulfate and the constraint on  $Log K^{max}$  for R10 was removed, which resulted in a substantially better match to the experimental data (Fig. 3b) and the corresponding value of the optimized equilibrium constant,  $LogK^{opt}$ , of 11.9 (Table 2). We expect that including  $Ca^{2+}$ – $SO_4^{2-}$  ion bridging in the surface complexation reactions would lower  $LogK^{opt}$ . Such inclusion implemented in SCM, would require currently unavailable experimental data to validate the model and therefore, data acquisition and the corresponding SCM adjustments will be conducted in a follow-up study.

The calculated concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  (note, that input pH and concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  were constant at 8.3 and pMg = 4.19, respectively, as reported by Al-Mahrouqi et al. [12], pMg =  $-\log C_{Mg}$  with *C* denoting the ion concentration in M) for both rock samples is shown in Fig. 4. The computed solution pH and concentration of all other ions (those that are not shown in Fig. 4) remained unchanged and equal to the input values regardless of  $LogK^{opt}$  for R10, hence the corresponding plots for these ions are not included in the figure.

After increasing the LogK<sup>opt</sup> for R10 to the value of 11.9, the match to the experimental results significantly improved as shown in Fig. 3b for ionic strength  $\leq 2$  M. However, the simulated zeta potential at ionic strength greater than 2 M remained significantly more positive compared with the observed values, and for the Ketton sample it became positive as opposed to the negative zeta potential obtained from the experiments. Therefore, additional modification to the model was made.

The second step optimization was initiated by fixing the optimal values of all equilibrium constants obtained from the first step optimization. Then, using the same objective function as in the 1st optimization step (Eq. (3)), the 2nd step optimization was implemented for each rock sample and each concentration of NaCl solution using the following variables:

- The concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> was allowed to vary within the reported experimental uncertainty.
- A variable capacitance of the Stern layer was assumed and allowed to vary between 0.2F m<sup>-2</sup> and 1.4F m<sup>-2</sup> (see more detailed discussion in section 2.2.5).

The resulting from the 2nd optimization step pH and concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  remained the same compared with the results of the 1st optimization step. However, to obtain a better match to the experimentally measured zeta potential required a non-monotonic change of the optimized Stern layer capacitance (Fig. 5a) and increased concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  (see the yellow $\rightarrow$  red shift for Estaillades and green $\rightarrow$  blue shift for Ketton in Fig. 5b).



**Fig. 3.** Calculated zeta potential after the first step optimization a) with the constraint  $-2.10 \le \log K_{R10} \le 3.30$ , b) without the constraint  $-2.10 \le \log K_{R10}$ . Black symbols denote the experimental results from AlMahrouqi et al. [12].



Fig. 4. Computed pCa (a) and pSO<sub>4</sub> (b) from the first optimization step. Black symbols represent the experimental data [12]. The results in color correspond to the modelled zeta potential that appears in Fig. 3b.

The computed zeta potential was found to be in a good agreement with the experimental data after the variable capacitance was implemented (see the yellow $\rightarrow$ red shift for Estaillades and green $\rightarrow$ blue shift for Ketton in Fig. 6).

#### 2.2.5. Variable Stern layer capacitance

As mentioned in the previous section, in order to obtain a good match with the experimentally measured zeta potential it was required to allow the Stern layer capacitance ( $C_{SL}$ ) to vary and to decrease globally. Following the definition of  $C_{SL}$  (Eq. (2)), its optimized concentration dependence (Fig. 5a) can be explained by either: i) constant distance between the 0-plane and  $\beta$ -plane, x, and decreasing relative permittivity of the Stern layer,  $\varepsilon_r$ , with increasing NaCl concentration; ii) constant relative permittivity and increasing with salinity x; iii) increasing with salinity x and decreasing  $\varepsilon_r$ ; or iv) decreasing x and  $\varepsilon_r$  with increasing salinity so that the rate of decrease of  $\varepsilon_r$  exceeds that of x. Previously published studies have suggested that hydration diameter of cations decreases considerably with increasing salinity, hence x might become smaller as smaller in diameter ions may have a closer distance of approach to the mineral surface (see for example, results



**Fig. 6.** Calculated zeta potential after the first and the second step optimization. Black symbols correspond to the experimental data of Al Mahrouqi et al. [12]. The yellow→red (Estaillades) and green→blue (Ketton) shifts demonstrate a significant improvement of the match after applying variable Stern layer capacitance. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



**Fig. 5.** Optimized Stern layer capacitance (a) and pCa as a function of NaCl concentration. Black symbols in b) correspond to the experiments of Al Mahrouqi et al., [12]. The yellow $\rightarrow$ red and green $\rightarrow$ blue shift represent manual adjustment of pCa to obtain a better match to the computed zeta potential in Fig. 6. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

obtained from an analytical model for Mg<sup>2+</sup> in Afanas'ev and Ustinov [41] and for Na<sup>+</sup> in Afanasiev et al. [42] using the same approach, both verified by various experimental data) thus restricting the possible explanation for the decreasing  $C_{SL}$  to option iv). This assumption is also supported by Brown et al. [43] who suggested a substantial compression of the Stern layer with increasing electrolyte salinity, which was interpreted from experimental observations including EPM. In contrast, some molecular dynamics studies (e.g., [44]) suggest that there is no change in x with increasing salt concentration due to the presence of the hydrolysis layer. At the same time, an electric field within the Stern layer that is exerted on polar water molecules results in its polarization and may lead to a substantial decrease in  $\varepsilon_r$  with increasing salinity (e.g., [45]), consistent with options i), iii) and iv). In the light of the above arguments, we assumed option i) in our model. implying constant x and decreasing with salinity  $\varepsilon_r$ . Note, that we tested hypothesis iv) and found that  $C_{SI}$  is significantly less sensitive to the variation of x (even assuming the maximum possible range between fully hydrated Na<sup>+</sup> radius of 4.5 Å and crystallographic Na<sup>+</sup> radius of 0.5 Å at 5 M [46]) compared with allowed variation of  $\varepsilon_r$  (between the value that corresponds to diluted electrolyte,  $\sim$ 80 at a temperature of 20 °C and that of structured water in the Stern layer,  $\sim 6$  [10]), but this sensitivity analysis is not presented here.

For simplicity we used a linear regression through optimized values of  $C_{SL}$  as shown in Fig. 7:

$$C_{SL} = -0.2232 \times IS + 1.357 [F \cdot m^{-2}]$$
(4)

Where *IS* is the ionic strength of the solution of interest (M). To verify the regression is physically meaningful we assumed a constant distance between 0-plane and  $\beta$ -plane of  $\times$  = 2.3 Å [6] and used a published equation for the Stern layer relative permittivity [47,48]:

$$\varepsilon_r = \frac{\varepsilon_z - \varepsilon_s}{1 + b\left(-\frac{d\phi}{x}\right)^2} + \varepsilon_s \tag{5}$$

where  $\varepsilon_z$  is the relative permittivity of the bulk electrolyte (at a given ionic strength and temperature),  $\varepsilon_s$  is the relative permittivity near the mineral surface (~6 [48]),  $d\phi$  is the electrical potential difference (V) between 0-plane with  $\beta$ -plane calculated by our model, and  $b = 1.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ m} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}$  is a constant [48]. The values of  $C_{SL}$  calculated using  $\varepsilon_r$  computed from Eq. (5), and x = 2.3 Å are



**Fig. 7.** Optimized Stern layer capacitance compared with values obtained using Eq. (5) and approximated by the linear regression fitted to the optimized values in blue (Eq. (4); quality of match to the optimized values of capacitance in blue is  $R^2 = 0.91$ ) as a function of ionic strength. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

plotted in Fig. 7 and are in good agreement with the optimized values thus confirming the validity of our approach. Note, that  $d\phi$  for Eq.5 were computed by PHREEQC using the linear regression of  $C_{SL}$  (blue line in Fig. 7) and provided an excellent match to the zeta potentials, so that non-linear regressions for  $C_{SL}$  were not tried as this was not the focus of this study.

#### 2.3. Calcite surface complexation model implementation

To use our model for predicting the zeta potential of calcite in contact with aqueous solutions requires identification of input parameters and model options that accurately replicated the reported experimental conditions. Therefore, the following steps should be taken to obtain an accurate prediction of the zeta potential:

- Define the *saturation index* and the *amount* (typically, 10 mol to 20 mol to allow sufficient amount of calcite to equilibrate with the solution of interest) in 'EQUILIBRIUM\_PHASES' section (see Appendix A). A non-zero *amount* should be chosen to replicate chemical equilibration between calcite and water of the experiment of interest, while the *saturation index* should be adjusted so that the computed Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration matches the experimental value.
- Define input concentrations of all ions in the modelled solution consistent with the reported values. Note, that the input concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  should be kept at zero and dissolution/precipitation of calcite switched on, when simulating the zeta potential experiments under equilibrium conditions, in which case content of  $Ca^{2+}$  in the solution is computed by PHREEQC and cross-compared with the experimental data. Otherwise, the measured concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  should be used while switching off the calcite dissolution option (see examples in the Appendix A).
- Use the optimized equilibrium constants for R1-R11 (Table 2).
- For a given ionic strength, calculate the Stern layer capacitance using the linear regression equation (Eq. (4)) and allow PHREEQC to compute the zeta potential. To validate the result cross-compare the simulated pH and concentrations of all ions against the measured values.

### 3. Model validation, results and discussion

Our model described in previous sections was tested against the experimentally measured zeta potential in intact carbonate core samples saturated with aqueous solutions reported by Al Mahrouqi et al. [12], Jackson et al. [49] and Li et al. [11]. The developed model simulated the zeta potential from SPM conducted on three different intact carbonate samples saturated with equilibrated NaCl solutions [12], one intact carbonate sample saturated with equilibrated artificial solutions [49], and crushed Iceland spar sample saturated with unequilibrated NaCl solutions [11].

# 3.1. Estaillades and Ketton samples saturated with equilibrated NaCl solution

[12]We began modelling the zeta potential with defining the input equilibrium concentration of Na<sup>+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> as reported in the paper. The initial input concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> was set to zero M, partial CO<sub>2</sub> pressure is set to  $10^{-3.44}$  atm (consistent with atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>), and dissolution of calcite is switched on (zero default value of the *saturation index* and 20 mol *amount*) to replicate the equilibrium experimental conditions. Applying the specific capacitance values calculated using Eq. (4) for each value of the resulting

equilibrium concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$ , and the results are shown in Fig. 8a and b (note, that simulated pH and concentration of all ions except  $Ca^{2+}$  were found to be identical to the experimental values used as input parameters). It can be seen from Fig. 8a and b that simulated results for the Ketton sample underestimate the concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  and consequently overestimate the negative zeta potential. To be consistent with the experimental data, the calcite dissolution rate for Ketton was enhanced by setting the *saturation index* to 0.8, so that the modelled equilibrium concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  became comparable with the measured one, which resulted in an excellent correlation between the modelled and measured zeta potential (Fig. 8c and d).

# 3.2. Portland sample saturated with equilibrated NaCl solution [12]

Our model was then applied to simulate the zeta potential obtained from Portland limestone. To evaluate the equilibrium concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  dissolved from the Portland sample we implemented a similar procedure by comparing the computed and measured equilibrium concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$ , which was reported to be slightly lower than that for the Ketton sample

(compare diamonds and squares in Fig. 10a of Al Mahrougi et al. [12]). A good agreement between the computed and measured  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration corresponded to the saturation index of 0.6. However, a significantly higher, compared with either Estaillades or Ketton, concentration of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> was reported for equilibrated solutions in contact with Portland (compare diamonds with squares in Fig. 10b of Al Mahrouqi et al. [12]), while the reported concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  in all rock samples was the same (pMg = 4.19). Since SO<sup>2-</sup> in the equilibrated solution could only originate from dissolution of sulfate containing minerals (anhydrite or epsomite), the equilibrium concentration of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> would not be expected to exceed that of the total concertation of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, which was not the case reported for Portland sample. We could not explain this discrepancy, and thus assumed that the reported concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> was inaccurate and contained an experimental uncertainty, which resulted in underestimated concentration of the cation. Therefore, we artificially added Ca2+ to our simulated aqueous solution, so that the concentration of the added cation was equal to the difference in sulfate concentration across the salinity range:  $\Delta \left[ SO_4^{2-} \right] = \left[ SO_4^{2-} \right]_{Portland} - \left[ SO_4^{2-} \right]_{Ketton}.$  Note that the difference in sulfate concentration depends on the salinity as shown in Fig. 9c, so that a single value of the saturation index could not be used thus



**Fig. 8.** Experimentally measured (black symbols) and simulated zeta potential (a, c) and pCa (b, d) at equilibrium conditions for Estaillades and Ketton samples saturated with NaCl solutions. The modelled results were obtained assuming identical *saturation index* for both rock samples toward NaCl solutions (a, b), and higher *saturation index* for Ketton (0.80) sample relative to the default value (0) of Estaillades (c, d).



**Fig. 9.** Measured and modelled zeta potential (a), pCa (b) and  $SO_{4^-}^{2^-}(c)$  of three natural rock samples. The amount of artificially added  $Ca^{2^+}$  to the model of Portland equals the reported difference in concentration of  $SO_{4^-}^{2^-}$  as shown in (c) and explained in Section 3.2. Yellow diamonds represent the modelled zeta potential (a) and pCa (b) assuming *saturation index* of 0.6 and zero artificially added  $Ca^{2^+}$ . The results of the model with artifically added  $Ca^{2^+}$  are denoted by green diamonds. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

justifying our approach of adding Ca<sup>2+</sup> to the solution artificially. Keeping the *saturation index* equal to 0.6, the total computed concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> increased by the amount equal to  $\Delta [SO_4^{2-}]$  (as denoted by the yellow  $\rightarrow$  green shift in Fig. 9b), and we successfully modelled the zeta potential as presented in Fig. 9a (the yellow  $\rightarrow$  green shift in Fig. 9a shows the effect on the computed zeta potential made by adding Ca<sup>2+</sup> to the solution).

# 3.3. Estaillades sample saturated with artificial natural solutions [49]

Jackson et al. [49] measured zeta potential on Estaillades rock sample saturated with three different equilibrated solutions: low salinity 20dSW (20 times diluted seawater), SW (seawater) representing moderate ionic strength of 0.749 M, and 3.537 M high salinity FMB (formation brine), with detailed composition provided in Table 1 in Jackson et al. [49]. The purpose of these experiments was to simulate the conventional and/or inverted low salinity waterflooding used to maximize oil recovery. To simulate the results, we modelled equilibrium conditions between calcite and the aqueous solutions by using zero initial concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and the same calcite dissolution rate as in Section 3.1. for Estaillades (default zero value of saturation index). The results of modelled zeta potential for all three solutions are presented in Fig. 10. Our model could successfully reproduce the measured zeta potential (Fig. 10a). However, the modelled equilibrium pH was significantly higher with 20dSW and significantly lower with FMB experimental data (Fig. 10b) despite the fact that modelled concentrations of all ionic species were found to be identical to the measured ones. We hypothesize that such discrepancy could result from the experimental protocol reported by Jackson et al.



Fig. 10. Simulated zeta potential (a) and pH (b) of three different brine compositions for Estaillades rock sample plotted as a function of ionic strength. The experimental data denoted by black symbols are extracted from Jackson et al. [49].

[49] who reported the initial (at time of preparation) pH and ionic concentration of solutions but not the final values established after equilibration with the rock sample.

It is worth mentioning, that equilibrium pH computed by our model in all tested aqueous solutions and rock samples matched the experimentally measured values apart from the results presented in Fig. 10, where the simulaed equilibrium pH was higher than experimental for 20dSW and SW and lower than experimental for FMB. Inclusion of protonation of  $> CO_3^{-0.5}$  surface sites without changing the optimized equilibrium constants resulted in increased simulated equilibrium pH compared with the experimental values. Thus, the assumed exclusion of protonation of the  $> CO_3^{-0.5}$  surface sites was confirmed for all tested parameters except for FMB, for which such reaction might need to be included, and this will tested in a follow-up study.

# 3.4. Iceland spar saturated with NaCl at non-equilibrium conditions [11]

Finally, our model was tested against the data reported by Li et al. [11] on crushed Iceland spar saturated with 10<sup>-3</sup> M, 10<sup>-2</sup> M, and  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  M NaCl not equilibrated with the mineral. Description of the experimental procedure reported by Li et al. [11] did not provide detailed information on the duration of the streaming potential measurements. However, considering the expected high permeability of the crashed samples (comparable to permeability of sandpacks reported by Vinogradov et al. [50]) and low salinity solutions used by Li et al. [11], it was assumed that the reported streaming potential measurements on crushed Iceland spar did not last hundreds of hours required for complete equilibration between the mineral and the tested solutions. Therefore, we assumed that only partial equilibration was reached during the experiments and for that reason we modelled the data reported by Li et al. [11] as obtained at non-equilibrium conditions so that any equilibration of calcite was disabled in the model (zero amount was set. Section 2.3 and Appendix A). However, due to partial equilibration expected in the experiments, we artificially added some non-zero initial concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> to the modelled solution. The concentration of dissolved Ca<sup>2+</sup> in 0.05 M NaCl was adjusted to  $10^{-4.2}$  M (expressed as pCa = 4.2 in Fig. 11b) at pH 9, consistent with observations reported by Alroudhan et al. [19]. Firstly, we assumed that the amount of dissolved (and therefore artificially added to the model) Ca<sup>2+</sup> should depend on pH (lower pH would lead to higher concentration of dissolved Ca<sup>2+</sup>, consistent with

higher dissolution rate reported by Anabaraonye et al. [51]. Furthermore, the pH dependence of pCa in 0.05 M NaCl experiments was extended throughout the entire range of pH (5–11) using a linear slope of  $\Delta$ pCa/ $\Delta$ pH = 0.1, consistent with calcite dissolution rate reported by Chou et al. [52].

Secondly, we assumed that dissolved Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration should also depend on NaCl concentration (higher NaCl concentration would lead to higher concentration of dissolved Ca<sup>2+</sup> as reported in Al Mahrouqi et al. [12]). Therefore, for NaCl solutions of 10<sup>-2</sup> M and 10<sup>-3</sup> M the end-points of pCa were moved up the vertical scale by 0.4 units relative to one another to reflect on the salinity dependence of calcite dissolution. The value of 0.4 was approximated from the reported calcite dissolution rate as a function of NaCl concentration [53] and assuming duration of the steaming potential measurement experiments conducted by Li et al. [11] to be approximately 10 h. Ultimately, ascribing the end-point values for Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration for each tested NaCl solution on the pH scale (Fig. 11b) and applying linear variation of pCa between these end-points, our model successfully predicted the measured zeta potential (Fig. 11a). Moreover, our model predicts that Ca<sup>2+</sup> must be added to the modelled solution in order to replicate the experimentally measured zeta potential. Note, that C(4) of the amount equal to the added Ca<sup>2+</sup> must also be added to the solution in addition to the initial concentration of C(4) that reflects the equilibrium content of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>. Despite the fact that the computed from calcite dissolution or artificially added  $Ca^{2+}$  and C(4) to the bulk electrolyte was not reported by Li et al. [11], the adsorption reactions for these ions were included in their SCM. Therefore, we are confident that our approach is consistent with Li et al. [11]. Our results also explain how the experimental data from Li et al. [11] was successfully matched by means of non-zero concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  and C(4) in the solution, both of which should increase with decreasing pH. If such pH dependence of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and C(4) concentration was not applied to the model of Li et al. [11], the simulated zeta potential would become more positive with increasing pH, which is an inverted trend relative to that reported in the paper.

# 3.5. Model capabilities, limitations and implication to carbonate-water subsurface settings

The developed robust SCM uses a set of justified and welldefined calcite surface sites and surface reactions' equilibrium constants combined with physically meaningful salinity dependence of the Stern layer capacitance. The model is capable to accurately predict zeta potential of a variety of carbonate-aqueous solution



**Fig. 11.** Modelled zeta potential (a) and pCa (b) of crashed lceland spar samples saturated with three different NaCl solutions under non-equilibrium conditions. The modelled zeta potential is plotted in comparison with the experimental data [11] denoted by black symbols. The slopes and intercepts of the pCa as a function of pH are discussed and defined in Section 3.4.

systems as long as calcite is the dominating mineral comprising natural rocks and the aqueous solution is dominated by NaCl. Moreover, an additional requirement for predictive capability of our model relates to the naturally occurring concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  in the solution, which has to reflect dissolution of sulfate containing minerals such as anhydrite or epsomite over geological deposition timescale. This requirement implies that the equilibrium concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  should not exceed the combined concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$ .

The set of equilibrium constants proposed in this paper for ambient conditions (25 °C and 1 atm) has not been tested to model experimental results obtained with aqueous solutions with artificially added  $SO_4^{-1}$  beyond natural occurrence, so that some amendments could be required to model such experiments. Moreover, we have not considered elevated temperature experimental conditions, which would require additional adjustments to the model: i) the equilibrium constants should be adjusted for elevated temperature by using for example the Van't Hoff equation; ii) the relative permittivity of the Stern layer should be recalculated; iii) the distance between the 0-plane and the  $\beta$ -plane should be reduced to account for reduced hydrated diameter of cations at elevated temperature (consistent with [50,54]); iv) the salinity dependence of the Stern layer capacitance should be amended taking into account ii) and iii) so that a new temperature specific regression is used.

Capabilities of our model have a broad range of applications since the approach reported here has demonstrated to provide an accurate prediction of the zeta potential of natural carbonate rock in contact with aqueous solutions, subject to the above conditions. Our model works best for equilibrium experimental conditions as long as concentration of all major ionic species is known. In this sense, our model can significantly improve our understanding of streaming potential measurements and associated flows in shallow aquifers where temperature and pressure are low and therefore, laboratory measurements of pH and concentration of all ions of the solution at aquifer conditions are straight forward. Laboratory experiments should, in this case, assure establishment of full equilibrium between rock and the solution of interest and fluid samples should be analyzed for molar concentration of all ions to be used as input parameters of the model. The modelled zeta potential can then be used to predict flow patterns in critical zones (e.g., [55]), permeability heterogeneities (e.g., [56]) or even serve as an early warning of saline intrusion (e.g., [2,3]).

Our model is useful in situations where the exact concentration of all ions is unknown. For example, the model can accurately assess the initial estimate of  $CO_2$  geological storage efficiency. The model would require input from the experimental data, given that laboratory experiments are conducted to establish full chemical equilibrium between carbonate rock and a  $CO_2$ -saturated aqueous solution at the target formation conditions of temperature and pressure. Such experiment should report at least one measured concentration (of constituent ions such as  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $SO_4^2$ - or protons, pH) to validate the simulated by our model concentrations (similar to the experiments reported by Li et al. [57]). The modelled zeta potential then can be used to interpret the wetting state using Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey- Overbeek (DLVO) theory (e.g., [58]) and the resulting residual trapping of the gas.

### 4. Conclusions

We report a robust surface complexation model of calcite-water interfaces. The model was developed adopting a two-step optimization, in which the equilibrium constants of surface reactions were initially optimized to match two experimental datasets, while the ionic strength dependence of the Stern layer capacitance was obtained in the second optimization step. The model was applied to successfully predict multiple experimental datasets with an excellent quality of match, and the modelling results demonstrate that:

- The unique set of the optimized equilibrium constants can be used universally to simulate the calcite-water zeta potentials obtained from the streaming potential measurements for all tested systems and conditions.
- The Stern layer capacitance should decrease with increasing salinity to replicate high salinity zeta potentials; the range of varying capacitances was found to be consistent with analytically predicted values [48] and with values used in previously published numerical studies [45].
- Using experimental composition of investigated solutions as the only input, our model accurately predicts zeta potentials of all tested systems and conditions including natural limestones equilibrated with simple salt and complex solutions of ionic strengths between 0.05 M and 5 M, and crushed calcite not equilibrated with NaCl solutions of ionic strength between 0.001 M and 0.01 M.
- To simulate zeta potentials of natural carbonate-water systems at equilibrium conditions, the developed model requires knowledge of concentration of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> that leach from dolomite and anhydrite inclusions, while the equilibrium concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> is produced by the model.

- To simulate zeta potentials of calcite in contact with water under non-equilibrium conditions requires knowledge of either measured in *real-time* Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration or duration of the simulated experiment.
- Our model is fully predictive given the required input parameters (e.g., ion concentration) are provided. However, additional surface reactions and/or model adjustments might be required to simulate the zeta potentials for carbonate rock in contact with aqueous solutions in which SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentration exceeds that of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> combined, this will be investigated in a follow-up study.

Future work will also aim at acquiring additional experimental data obtained with varying concentration of C(4) and  $SO_4^{2-}$  ions under equilibrium conditions to inform the surface complexation model, which will be updated and/or modified to include the hypothesis of ion bridging outside OHP.

### **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Jan Vinogradov: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration. Miftah Hidayat: Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization. Mohammad Sarmadivaleh: Supervision. Jos Derksen: Writing – review & editing, Supervision. David Vega-Maza: Writing – review & editing, Supervision. Stefan Iglauer: Supervision. Damien Jougnot: Writing – review & editing. **Mohamed Azaroual:** Writing – review & editing. **Philippe Leroy:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

Miftah Hidayat was supported by the Aberdeen-Curtin PhD studentship. David Vega-Maza is funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities ("Beatriz Galindo Senior" fellowship BEAGAL18/00259). The research work of Philippe Leroy was conducted within the framework of the O-ZNS project which is part of PIVOTS project (financial support provided by the Région Centre-Val de Loire and the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research).

#### Appendix A. - PHREEQC code examples

In examples below, text that follows the # symbol is not part of the code, but comments aimed at clarifying the meaning of program keywords and values

### EQUILIBRIUM\_PHASES #basic description Calcite 0 20

# 0 is the default *saturation index*; 20 is the available *amount* of calcite in mol. The *saturation index* can be either negative or positive to hinder or enhance calcite dissolution, respectively. To prevent any dissolution or precipitation of calcite during the equilibration, the *amount* should be set to zero.

**CO2(g)** -3.44# defines partial CO<sub>2</sub> pressure at equilibrium with atmospheric air equal to  $10^{-3.44}$  atm

**EQUILIBRIUM\_PHASES** #example 1 – simulation of established equilibrium between calcite and water, *default* saturation index (Estaillades). The computed equilibrium concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  dissolved in 0.05 M NaCl is pCa=3.18 **Calcite 0 20** 

CO2(g) -3.44

### **SOLUTION 1**

temp 25 units mol/L Na 0.05 CI 0.05 charge #the charge keyword forces charge balance of the solution by adjusting concentration of CI Mg 0.0000645 #experimental S(6) 1.800E-4 #experimental SAVE SOLUTION 1 **EQUILIBRIUM\_PHASES** #example 2 – simulation of established equilibrium between calcite and water, *adjusted* saturation index (Ketton). The computed equilibrium concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  dissolved in 0.05 M NaCl is pCa=2.90

Calcite 0.80 20 CO2(g) -3.44

### **SOLUTION 2**

temp 25 units mol/L Na 0.05 Cl 0.05 charge Mg 0.0000645 #experimental S(6) 0.011 #experimental SAVE SOLUTION 2

**EQUILIBRIUM\_PHASES** #example 3 – simulation of no established equilibrium between calcite and water (Iceland Spar), default saturation index non-zero input concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. **Calcite 0 0** 

CO2(g) -3.44

## **SOLUTION 3**

temp 25 units mol/L Na 0.05 Cl 0.05 charge

**Ca 0.0000631** #equivalent to pCa=4.2 interpreted from Figure 2a (Alroudhan, et al., 2016) assuming 10 hours of partial equilibration during the experiment, which results in pH=9. **SAVE SOLUTION 3** 

#### References

- R.E. Hester, R.M. Harrison, reds, Carbon Capture: Sequestration and Storage, The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2009, doi: 10.1039/9781847559715.
- [2] M.T. Graham, D.J. MacAllister, J. Vinogradov, M.D. Jackson, A.P. Butler, Selfpotential as a predictor of seawater intrusion in coastal groundwater boreholes, Water Resour. Res. 54 (2018) 6055–6071, https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2018WR022972.
- [3] D.J. MacAllister, M.D. Jackson, A.P. Butler, J. Vinogradov, Remote detection of saline intrusion in a coastal aquifer using borehole measurements of selfpotential, Water Resour. Res. 54 (2018) 1669–1687, https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2017WR021034.
- [4] J.H. Saunders, M.D. Jackson, M.Y. Gulamali, J. Vinogradov, C.C. Pain, Streaming potentials at hydrocarbon reservoir conditions, Geophysics 77 (2012) E77– E90, https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2011-0068.1.
- [5] F. Carrasco, P. Mutjé, M.A. Pelach, Control of retention in paper-making by colloid titration and zeta potential techniques, Wood Sci. Technol. 32 (1998) 145–155, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00702595.
- [6] F. Heberling, D. Bosbach, J.-D. Eckhardt, U. Fischer, J. Glowacky, M. Haist, U. Kramar, S. Loos, H.S. Müller, T. Neumann, C. Pust, T. Schäfer, J. Stelling, M. Ukrainczyk, V. Vinograd, M. Vučak, B. Winkler, Reactivity of the calcite–water-interface, from molecular scale processes to geochemical engineering, Appl. Geochemistry. 45 (2014) 158–190, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apgeochem.2014.03.006.
- [7] R.J. Hunter, Zeta Potential in Colloid Science: Principles and Applications, Academic press, New York, 1981.
- [8] R. Eriksson, J. Merta, J.B. Rosenholm, The calcite/water interface: I. Surface charge in indifferent electrolyte media and the influence of low-molecularweight polyelectrolyte, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 313 (2007) 184–193, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.04.034.

- [9] M.D. Jackson, A.P. Butler, J. Vinogradov, Measurements of spontaneous potential in chalk with application to aquifer characterization in the southern UK, Q. J. Eng. Geol. Hydrogeol. 45 (2012) 457–471, https://doi.org/ 10.1144/qjegh2011-021.
- [10] F. Heberling, T.P. Trainor, J. Lützenkirchen, P. Eng, M.A. Denecke, D. Bosbach, Structure and reactivity of the calcite-water interface, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 354 (2011) 843–857, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2010.10.047.
- [11] S. Li, P. Leroy, F. Heberling, N. Devau, D. Jougnot, C. Chiaberge, Influence of surface conductivity on the apparent zeta potential of calcite, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 468 (2016) 262–275, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2016.01.075.
- [12] D. Al Mahrouqi, J. Vinogradov, M.D. Jackson, Zeta potential of artificial and natural calcite in aqueous solution, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 240 (2017) 60– 76, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2016.12.006.
- [13] T. Foxall, G.C. Peterson, H.M. Rendall, A.L. Smith, Charge determination at calcium salt/aqueous solution interface, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 Phys. Chem. Condens. Phases. 75 (1979) 1034–1039, doi: 10.1039/F19797501034.
- [14] D.W. Thompson, P.G. Pownall, Surface electrical properties of calcite, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 131 (1989) 74–82, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797(89) 90147-1.
- [15] A.V. Delgado, F. González-Caballero, R.J. Hunter, L.K. Koopal, J. Lyklema, Measurement and interpretation of electrokinetic phenomena, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 309 (2007) 194–224, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jcis.2006.12.075.
- [16] M. Kosmulski, P. Dahlsten, High ionic strength electrokinetics of clay minerals, Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 291 (2006) 212–218, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2006.06.037.
- [17] A. Cherubini, B. Garcia, A. Cerepi, A. Revil, Influence of CO<sub>2</sub> on the electrical conductivity and streaming potential of carbonate rocks, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth. 124 (2019) 10056–10073, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB017057.
  [18] A. Cherubini, B. Garcia, A. Cerepi, A. Revil, Streaming potential coupling
- [18] A. Cherubini, B. Garcia, A. Cerepi, A. Revil, Streaming potential coupling coefficient and transport properties of unsaturated carbonate rocks, Vadose Zo. J. 17 (2018), https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.02.0030 180030.

- [19] A. Alroudhan, J. Vinogradov, M.D. Jackson, Zeta potential of intact natural limestone: Impact of potential-determining ions Ca, Mg and SO<sub>4</sub>, Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 493 (2016) 83–98, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.colsurfa.2015.11.068.
- [20] J.A. Davis, R.O. James, J.O. Leckie, Surface ionization and complexation at the oxide/water interface: I. Computation of electrical double layer properties in simple electrolytes, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 63 (1978) 480–499, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0021-9797(78)80009-5.
- [21] A. Revil, P.A. Pezard, P.W.J. Glover, Streaming potential in porous media: 1. Theory of the zeta potential, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth. 104 (1999) 20021– 20031, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JB900089.
- [22] D.L. Parkhurst, C.A.J. Appelo, Description of Input and Examples for PHREEQC Version 3–A Computer Program for Speciation, Batch-Reaction, One-Dimensional Transport, and Inverse Geochemical Calculations, U.S. Geological Survey, 2013.
- [23] M. Wolthers, L. Charlet, P. Van Cappellen, The surface chemistry of divalent metal carbonate minerals; a critical assessment of surface charge and potential data using the charge distribution multi-site ion complexation model, Am. J. Sci. 308 (2008) 905–941, https://doi.org/10.2475/08.2008.02.
- [24] A. Alizadeh, M. Wang, Flexibility of inactive electrokinetic layer at charged solid-liquid interface in response to bulk ion concentration, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 534 (2019) 195–204, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2018.09.010.
- [25] H. Ding, S.R. Rahman, Investigation of the impact of potential determining ions from surface complexation modeling, Energy & Fuels. 32 (2018) 9314–9321, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b02131.
- [26] P. Van Cappellen, L. Charlet, W. Stumm, P. Wersin, A surface complexation model of the carbonate mineral-aqueous solution interface, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 57 (1993) 3505–3518, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037 (93)90135-J.
- [27] O.S. Pokrovsky, J. Schott, Surface chemistry and dissolution kinetics of divalent metal carbonates, Environ. Sci. Technol. 36 (2002) 426–432, https://doi.org/ 10.1021/es010925u.
- [28] O.S. Pokrovsky, J.A. Mielczarski, O. Barres, J. Schott, Surface speciation models of calcite and dolomite/aqueous solution interfaces and their spectroscopic evaluation, Langmuir. 16 (2000) 2677–2688, https://doi.org/10.1021/ la980905e.
- [29] O.S. Pokrovsky, J. Schott, Kinetics and Mechanism of Dolomite Dissolution in Neutral to Alkaline Solutions Revisited, Am. J. Sci. 301 (2001) 597 LP–626, doi: 10.2475/ajs.301.7.597.
- [30] T. Udoh, J. Vinogradov, Controlled salinity-biosurfactant enhanced oil recovery at ambient and reservoir temperatures—an experimental study, Energies 14 (2021), https://doi.org/10.3390/en14041077.
- [31] A. Villegas-Jiménez, A. Mucci, M.A. Whitehead, Theoretical insights into the hydrated (10.4) calcite surface: structure, energetics, and bonding relationships, Langmuir. 25 (2009) 6813–6824, https://doi.org/10.1021/ la803652x.
- [32] I. Kurganskaya, S.V. Churakov, Carbonate dissolution mechanisms in the presence of electrolytes revealed by grand canonical and kinetic Monte Carlo modeling, J. Phys. Chem. C. 122 (2018) 29285–29297, https://doi.org/10.1021/ acs.jpcc.8b08986.
- [33] J. Song, S. Rezaee, L. Zhang, Z. Zhang, M. Puerto, O.B. Wani, F. Vargas, S. Alhassan, S.L. Biswal, G.J. Hirasaki, Characterizing the influence of organic carboxylic acids and inorganic silica impurities on the surface charge of natural carbonates using an extended surface complexation model, Energy & Fuels. 33 (2019) 957–967, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b03896.
- [34] C. Qiao, R. Johns, L. Li, J. Xu, Modeling low salinity waterflooding in mineralogically different carbonates, in: SPE Annu. Tech. Conf. Exhib., Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE), Houston, Texas, USA, 2015, doi: 10.2118/175018-ms.
- [35] C. Qiao, L. Li, R.T. Johns, J. Xu, A mechanistic model for wettability alteration by chemically tuned waterflooding in carbonate reservoirs, SPE J. 20 (2015) 767– 783, https://doi.org/10.2118/170966-PA.
- [36] K.S. Pitzer, Thermodynamics of electrolytes. I. Theoretical basis and general equations, J. Phys. Chem. 77 (1973) 268–277, https://doi.org/10.1021/ j100621a026.
- [37] C.E. Harvie, N. Møller, J.H. Weare, The prediction of mineral solubilities in natural waters: the Na-K-Mg-Ca-H-Cl-SO<sub>4</sub>-OH-HCO<sub>3</sub>-CO<sub>3</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system to high ionic strengths at 25°C, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 48 (1984) 723–751, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(84)90098-X.
- [38] L. Nachbaur, P.-C. Nkinamubanzi, A. Nonat, J.-C. Mutin, Electrokinetic properties which control the coagulation of silicate cement suspensions

during early age hydration, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 202 (1998) 261-268, https://doi.org/10.1006/jcis.1998.5445.

- [39] T. Austad, S. Strand, T. Puntervold, Is wettability alteration of carbonates by seawater caused by rock dissolution? in: Int. Symp. Soc. Core Anal., Noordwijk, 2009.
- [40] Y. Elakneswaran, T. Nawa, K. Kurumisawa, Electrokinetic potential of hydrated cement in relation to adsorption of chlorides, Cem. Concr. Res. 39 (2009) 340– 344, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2009.01.006.
- [41] V.N. Afanas'ev, A.N. Ustinov, Hydration numbers and the state of water in hydration spheres of magnesium chloride and magnesium sulfate solutions, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 57 (2012) 1107–1122, https://doi.org/10.1134/ S0036023612080025.
- [42] V.N. Afanasiev, A.N. Ustinov, I.Y. Vashurina, State of hydration shells of sodium chloride in aqueous solutions in a wide concentration range at 273.15–373.15 K, J. Phys. Chem. B. 113 (2009) 212–223, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp711542j.
- [43] M.A. Brown, A. Goel, Z. Abbas, Effect of electrolyte concentration on the stern layer thickness at a charged interface, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55 (2016) 3790– 3794, https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201512025.
- [44] I.C. Bourg, G. Sposito, Molecular dynamics simulations of the electrical double layer on smectite surfaces contacting concentrated mixed electrolyte (NaCl-CaCl<sub>2</sub>) solutions, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 360 (2011) 701–715, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jcis.2011.04.063.
- [45] I.-C. Yeh, M.L. Berkowitz, Dielectric constant of water at high electric fields: Molecular dynamics study, J. Chem. Phys. 110 (1999) 7935–7942, https://doi. org/10.1063/1.478698.
- [46] J. Kielland, Individual activity coefficients of ions in aqueous solutions, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59 (1937) 1675–1678, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01288a032.
- [47] B.E. Conway, Ionic Hydration in Chemistry and Biophysics, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 1981.
- [48] T. Hiemstra, W.H. Van Riemsdijk, On the relationship between charge distribution, surface hydration, and the structure of the interface of metal hydroxides, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 301 (2006) 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcis.2006.05.008.
- [49] M.D. Jackson, D. Al-Mahrouqi, J. Vinogradov, Zeta potential in oil-watercarbonate systems and its impact on oil recovery during controlled salinity water-flooding, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016) 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37363.
- [50] J. Vinogradov, M.D. Jackson, M. Chamerois, Zeta potential in sandpacks: effect of temperature, electrolyte pH, ionic strength and divalent cations, Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 553 (2018) 259–271, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2018.05.048.
- [51] B.U. Anabaraonye, J.P. Crawshaw, J.P.M. Trusler, Brine chemistry effects in calcite dissolution kinetics at reservoir conditions, Chem. Geol. 509 (2019) 92– 102, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2019.01.014.
- [52] L. Chou, R.M. Garrels, R. Wollast, Comparative study of the kinetics and mechanisms of dissolution of carbonate minerals, Chem. Geol. 78 (1989) 269– 282, https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(89)90063-6.
- [53] E. Ruiz-Agudo, C.V. Putnis, C. Jiménez-López, C. Rodriguez-Navarro, An atomic force microscopy study of calcite dissolution in saline solutions: The role of magnesium ions, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 73 (2009) 3201–3217, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.03.016.
- [54] S. Kuyucak, S.-H. Chung, Temperature dependence of conductivity in electrolyte solutions and ionic channels of biological membranes, Biophys. Chem. 52 (1994) 15–24, https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-4622(94)00034-4.
- [55] D. Jougnot, D. Roubinet, L. Guarracino, A. Maineult, Modeling streaming potential in porous and fractured media, description and benefits of the effective excess charge density approach, in: A. Biswas, S.P. Sharma (Reds), Adv. Model. Interpret. Near Surf. Geophys., Springer International Publishing, 2020: bll 61–96, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-28909-6\_4.
- [56] M.D. Jackson, M.Y. Gulamali, E. Leinov, J.H. Saunders, J. Vinogradov, Spontaneous potentials in hydrocarbon reservoirs during waterflooding: application to water-front monitoring, SPE J. 17 (2012) 53–69, https://doi. org/10.2118/135146-PA.
- [57] X. Li, C. Peng, J.P. Crawshaw, G.C. Maitland, J.P.M. Trusler, The pH of CO<sub>2</sub>saturated aqueous NaCl and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solutions at temperatures between 308 K and 373 K at pressures up to 15 MPa, Fluid Phase Equilib. 458 (2018) 253–263, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2017.11.023.
- [58] T.K. Tokunaga, DLVO-based estimates of adsorbed water film thicknesses in geologic CO<sub>2</sub> reservoirs, Langmuir. 28 (2012) 8001–8009, https://doi.org/ 10.1021/la2044587.