# MONOMIAL ACTIONS OF THE SYMMETRIC GROUP. 

Esther Beneish<br>Department of Mathematics<br>Eastern Washington University<br>Cheney, WA 99004<br>email: ebeneish@mail.ewu.edu

Sunday, September 10, 2000


#### Abstract

: Let F be a field, and let G be a finite group. A rational extension of F on which G acts purely monomially, is of the form $F(M)$ for some ZG-lattice $M$, where $F(M)$ is the quotient field of the group algebra of the abelian group M . It follows from work of Endo-Miyata, Swan, and Lenstra, that G-faithful ZG-lattices are in the same flasque class if and only if they have G-isomorphic corresponding fields. We investigate the stable rationality of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{G}}$ over F , when G is $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the Symmetric group on $p$ letters and $p$ is a prime. Thus the study of flasque classes of $\mathrm{ZS}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{-}$ lattices plays a fundamental role in this investigation. Let N be the normalizer of a p-sylow sylow subgroup of $S_{p}$ We show that there are classes of $Z_{p}$-lattices for which induction restriction from $N$ to $S_{p}$, does not affect the flasque class. We also present sufficient conditions for the flasque class of a $\mathrm{ZS}_{\mathrm{p}}$-lattice to be zero, which implies that the corresponding fixed field is stably rational over F. In particular we study the flasque class of a specific lattice, $G_{p}$, which has the property that $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{\mathrm{Sp}}$ is stably isomorphic to the center of the division ring of generic matrices, $[\mathrm{F}]$. Let $\hat{M}$ denote the p-adic completion of a $\mathrm{ZS}_{\mathrm{p}}$-lattice M . We show that any $\mathrm{ZS}_{\mathrm{p}}$-lattice M having the property that $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{\mathrm{q}}$ as $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{G}$ lattices for all primes $\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}$, and that $\hat{M} \cong \hat{G}_{p}$ as $\hat{Z} \mathrm{~N}$-lattices, is in the flasque class of $G_{p}$. For a finite group $G$, lattices in the same genus are not in general in the same flasque class, however they are for $G=S_{n}$. We extend this to a larger class of $\mathrm{ZS}_{\mathrm{p}}$-lattices containing the genus of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p} .}{ }^{1}$


[^0]
## Introduction:

This paper is in part a continuation of the investigation started in [B3], of the stable rationality of the center of the ring of $n \times n$ generic matrices over the complex numbers. Let G be a finite group, and let K be a field on which G acts as a group of automorphisms, possibly trivially. Let $K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a rational extension of $K$. A monomial action of $G$ on $K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is defined by $g x_{i}=k_{i} x_{1}{ }^{a_{i 1}} \ldots x_{n}{ }^{\text {ain }}$, where $g \in G$, and the matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is an invertible matrix with integers entries, and $k_{i} \in K$. The action is said to be purely monomial if $k_{i}=1$ for all i. If a group $G$ acts on $K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ purely monomially, and if we let $M$ be the ZG-lattice with Z-basis $\left\{\mathrm{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$, where g acts on $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ as on $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$, then $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is isomorphic $K(M)$, the quotient field of the group algebra $K[M]$, and the isomorphism respects the G-actions. Conversely if $M$ is a ZG-lattice, then $K(M)$ has a purely monomial G-action.

Let $F$ be a field and let $C_{n}$ denote the center of the division ring of $n \times n$ generic matrices over $F$. It was shown in $[F]$, that $C_{n}$ is stably isomorphic over $F$, to the fixed field under the action of $S_{n}$ of $F\left(G_{n}\right)$, where $G_{n}$ is a specific $Z S_{n}$-lattice, which we define below. Thus the question of the stable rationality of $C_{n}$ is a special case of the problem of finding invariants of fields on which a group acts purely monomially. Let $G=S_{p}$, the symmetric group on $p$ symbols where $p$ is a prime. In this paper we consider purely monomial actions on rational extensions of F , that is fields of the form $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{M})$, where M is a ZG-lattice and $G$ acts trivially on F. It follows directly from work of Endo-Miyata [EM1], Lenstra [L], and Swan [S], that for any finite group G and any G-faithful ZG-lattices M and M', $F(M)$ and $F\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ are stably isomorphic as G-fields if and only if $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are in the same flasque class, Theorem 1.1. Thus the study of flasque classes of ZGlattices is the starting point in this investigation.

We present our results on this question in section 1 . Let N be the normalizer of a p-sylow subgroup of $G$. Thus N is the semi-direct product of a group of order p by a cyclic group of order p-1. In Theorem 1.4, which is a generalization of [B3,

Proposition 2.4], we describe a class of ZG-lattices $M$ for which inductionrestriction from N to G preserves the flasque class; the flasque class of M will depend on the localization of $M$ at all primes $q \neq p$.

In Theorem 1.6, we apply these results to the flasque class of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$. We let $\hat{M}$ denote the p-adic completion of a ZG-lattice $M$. We show that any ZG-lattice M having the property that $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{\mathrm{q}}$ as ZG-lattices, and that $\hat{M} \cong \hat{G}_{p}$ as $\hat{Z} \mathrm{~N}$ lattices, is in the flasque class of $G_{p}$. Thus $F(M)^{G}$ is stably isomorphic to $C_{p}$, the center of the division ring of $\mathrm{p} \times \mathrm{p}$ generic matrices. For a finite group $G$, lattices in the same genus are not in general in the same flasque class, however they are if G is the symmetric group. Theorem 1.6 extends this to a larger class of ZG-
 conditions for flasque classes to be 0 , which implies that the corresponding fixed fields are stably rational over F .

Section 2 is devoted to finding the decomposition of $\hat{G}_{p}$ into indecomposables $\hat{Z} \mathrm{~N}$-modules. The main result, Theorem 2.3, gives a characterization of all invertible ZG-lattices in the flasque class of $G_{p}$ up to stable isomorphism, that is isomorphism up to permutation modules.

## Section 1:

Let $G$ be a finite group. Flasque classes of ZG-lattices play an important role in this problem; they are defined as follows. The ZG-lattices $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are said to be equivalent if there exist permutation modules $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ such that $M \oplus P \cong M^{\prime} \oplus P^{\prime}$. This defines an equivalence relation on the category of ZG-lattices. The equivalence class of a ZG-lattice $M$ will be denoted by [M]. The set of equivalence classes of ZG-lattices forms an abelian monoid under the direct sum. Lattices equivalent to 0 are said to be stably permutation. These are lattices M for which there exist permutation modules P and R such that $\mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{P} \cong \mathrm{R}$.

For all $n \in Z, H^{n}(G, M)$ will denote the nth Tate cohomology group of $G$ with coefficients in $M$. A ZG-lattice $M$ is flasque $H^{-1}(H, M)=0$ for all subgroups $H$ of G. A flasque resolution of a ZG -lattice M is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $P$ permutation and $E$ flasque. It follows directly from [EM1, Lemma 1.1], that for any ZG-lattice M, there exist such a flasque resolution. The flasque class of M is defined to be [E]. Lattices whose flasque class is 0 are said to be quasipermutation. These are lattices for which there exists a ZG-exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \rightarrow 0
$$

with P and R permutation.

Throughout the rest of this paper we adopt the following notation unless otherwise specified.

- $G=S_{p}$, where $p$ is prime.
- $H=p$-sylow subgroup of $G$, so $H$ is cyclic of order $p$.
- $a=$ primitive $(p-1)$ st root of $1 \bmod p$.
- $N=N_{G}(H)$ will be the normalizer of $H$ in $G$, so $N=H \succ \triangleleft C$, where $C$ is cyclic of order $\mathrm{p}-1$.
- H will be generated by $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{C}$ by c , and we have $\mathrm{chc}^{-1}=\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{a}}$.
- For any finite group G, and for any ZG-lattice M, we will denote by $\phi(M)$ or $\phi_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{M})$ the flasque class of M .
- For any finite group $G$ and any ZG-lattice $M, \hat{M}$ will denote the p-adic completion of M , and for any prime $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{q}}$ will denote the localization of M at q.


## Definition:

Let Q be the field of rational numbers. Let G be a finite group, and let M be a ZG-lattice. The Q-class of M is the set of ZG-lattices $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ such that $\mathrm{QM}=\mathrm{QM}$ '.

## Definition:

Let $L$ and $K$ be fields and let $G$ be a finite subgroup of their automorphisms groups. L and K are said to be isomorphic (stably isomorphic) as G-fields if they are isomorphic (stably isomorphic) and the isomorphism respects their G-actions.

## Theorem 1.1:

Let $G$ be a finite group and let $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ be G-faithful ZG-lattices. Then $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)$ are stably isomorphic as G-fields if and only if M and M ' are in the same flasque class.

## Proof:

By [B3, Lemma 2.1], $\phi(M)=\phi\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ implies that $F(M)$ and $F\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ are stably isomorphic as G-fields. To prove the converse, assume that $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)$ are stably isomorphic as G-fields. Then so are $F(Z G \oplus M)$ and $F(Z G \oplus M ')$. Let $L=$ $F(Z G)$. The following is an adaptation of the proof of [L, Theorem 1.7].

There exist G-trivial indeterminates $y_{i}$, which are algebraically independent over $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{M})$ and G-trivial intederminates $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$, which are algebraically independent over L(M'), such that

$$
\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{M})\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \cong \mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) .
$$

Let $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{L}[\mathrm{M}]\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}}\right]$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{L}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]\left[\mathrm{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{r}}\right]$. By [S, Lemma 8], there exists elements $a_{1} \in R_{1}{ }^{G}$ and $a_{2} \in R_{2}{ }^{G}$ such that $R_{1}\left[a_{1}{ }^{-1}\right]$ and $R_{2}\left[a_{2}{ }^{-1}\right]$ are isomorphic as L-algebras. By [S, Lemma 7], we have ZG-exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{1}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{1}\left[\mathrm{a}_{1}^{-1}\right]^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{2}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{2}\left[\mathrm{a}_{2}^{-1}\right]^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where P and S are ZG - permutation. Thus we obtain ZG -exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{1}^{*} / \mathrm{L}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{1}\left[\mathrm{a}_{1}^{-1}\right]^{*} / \mathrm{L}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{2}^{*} / \mathrm{L}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{2}\left[\mathrm{a}_{2}^{-1}\right]^{*} / \mathrm{L}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R_{1}{ }^{*} / L^{*} \cong M$ and $R_{2}{ }^{*} / L^{*} \cong M$, we have that $\phi(M)=\phi\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ by [CTS, Lemma 8 , section 1].

We now define the lattice $G_{p}$ mentioned in the introduction. Let $U$ be the $Z G-$ lattice with Z-basis $\left\{u_{i}: 1, \ldots, p\right\}$ with G-action given by $g u_{i}=u_{g(i)}$ for $g$ in $G$. Let A be the kernel of the map $U \rightarrow Z$ which sends the $u_{i}$ to 1 . Then $G_{p}$ is defined to be $\mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{A}$ and by $\left[\mathrm{F}\right.$, Theorem 3], $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{\mathrm{G}}$ is stably isomorphic to the center of the ring of $\mathrm{p} \times \mathrm{p}$ generic matrices.

## Corollary 1.2:

The classes $\left[G_{p}\right]$ and $\left[Z G \otimes_{Z N} G_{p}\right]$ of the $Z G$-lattices $G_{p}$ and $Z G \otimes_{Z N} G_{p}$ are equal. In particular $G_{p}$ and $Z G \otimes_{Z N} G_{p}$ are in the same flasque class.

## Proof:

By [B3, Theorem 2.6], $F\left(G_{p}\right)$ and $F\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N} G_{p}\right)$ are isomorphic as G-fields. By Theorem 1.1 this implies that the flasque classes of $G_{p}$ and $Z G \otimes_{Z N} G_{p}$ are equal. By [CTS, Lemma 8, section 1] this is equivalent to the existence of ZG-exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ZG}_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $P$ and $R$ permutation. By [BL, Proposition 3, section 3.1] $G_{p}$ is invertible, and hence so is $Z G \otimes_{Z N} G_{p}$. Therefore these sequences split by [CTS, Lemma 9, section 1], and we have

$$
\mathrm{E} \cong \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} \oplus \mathrm{P} \cong \mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} \oplus \mathrm{R}
$$

Hence $\left[G_{p}\right]=\left[Z G \otimes_{Z N} G_{p}\right]$.

## Lemma 1.3:

Let $M$ and $M$ ' be ZG-lattices in the same $Q$-class. If there exists a ZG-exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $L$ is cohomlogically trivial, then $[M]=\left[M^{\prime}\right]$. Consequently $\phi(M)=\phi\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.

## Proof:

There exists a ZG-exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow 0
$$

with Fr free. Since both Fr and L are cohomlogically trivial, so is Pr. By [BK, Theorem 8.10, Chapter VI], Pr is ZG-projective. We now form the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \quad 0 \\
& \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
& 0 \rightarrow \underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{L}} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{E}} \rightarrow \underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{Fr}} \rightarrow 0 \\
& \operatorname{Pr} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pr} \\
& \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
& 0 \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E$ is the pullback of the maps $M^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{Fr} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$. Since $\operatorname{Pr}$ and Fr are projective, and since projectives are injectives in the category of ZG-lattices, we get $\mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{Fr} \cong \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \oplus \operatorname{Pr}$. Now by [EM2, Theorem 3.3] Fr and Pr are stably permutation since $G=S_{p}$, thus $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are in the same class, and a fortiori in the same flasque class.

The following theorem is a generalization of [B3, proposition 2.4]. It describes a class of lattices for which induction-restriction from N to G preserves the flasque class.

## Remark:

- Note that since $G=S_{p}$, every $Z G$-lattice is in the $Q$-class of a stably permutation lattice.
- Let G be any finite group, and let H be a subgroup of G . Let R be a ring, and let M be a RG-module. Let $\left\{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{i}, \ldots,[\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{H}]\right\}$ be a transversal for H in G . The map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{RG} / \mathrm{H} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{RG} \otimes_{\mathrm{RH}} \operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{G}}{ }_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{M} \\
& \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \overline{\mathrm{~g}}_{\mathrm{i}} \otimes \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{j}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{i}} \otimes \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \in \mathrm{Z}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}} \in \mathrm{M}$, is an isomorphism of RG -modules.

## Theorem 1.4:

Let $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{H} \succ \triangleleft \mathrm{C}$ be the normalizer of a p-Sylow subgroup H of G . Let M be a ZGlattice and let R be a stably permutation ZG-lattice in the Q -class of M . If for each prime $\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}$, the $\mathrm{q}-$ primary component of $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{M}$ is cohomologically trivial then

$$
\phi(\mathrm{M})=\phi\left(\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}\right) .
$$

Consequently $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}\right)$ are stably isomorphic as G-fields.

## Proof:

Since $\mathrm{QM}=\mathrm{QR}$ for some stably permutation ZG-lattice R , we have a ZG-exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R / M=L$ finite. Since $L$ is a finite abelian group, it is isomorphic to the direct sum of its primary components. So $L=\oplus_{q \text { prime }} L_{q}$. Let $L^{\prime}=\left(\oplus_{q \text { prime }, q \neq p} L_{q}\right)$. Now consider the diagram


Here $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is the pullback of the maps $\mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$. Since the q-primary component of $L$ is cohomologically trivial for each prime $q \neq p$, $L$, is cohomologically trivial by [BK, Corollary 10.2, and Theorem 10.3, Chapter III] and by Lemma 1.3, $\phi_{G}(M)=\phi_{G}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Thus it suffices to assume that $L=L_{p}$. Let $S$ $=Z / p^{r} Z$ where $p^{r}$ is the exponent of $L$. Tensoring sequence (1) by ZG over ZN we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{SG}_{\mathrm{SN}} \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $I_{G / N}$ be the kernel of the augmentation map $Z_{p} G / N \rightarrow Z_{p}$, and let $I=$ $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}} / \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}}$. Since $[\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{N}$ ] is prime to p we have that

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}} \oplus \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{SG} / \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S} \oplus \mathrm{I}
$$

By Mackey's subgroup theorem [CR, Theorem10.13], $\operatorname{Res}^{G}{ }_{H} Z G / N=Z \oplus \mathrm{ZH}^{m}$ for some positive integer m , hence $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}} \cong \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}}$ as a $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{H}$-lattice by [CR, Theorem 36.1]. Therefore $\mathrm{I} \cong \mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{m}}$ as an SH -module and hence as a ZH -module, where the ZH -action is via the ring map

$$
\mathrm{ZH} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH} .
$$

Now $\mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{L} \cong \mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{m}} \otimes \mathrm{L} \cong\left(\mathrm{SH} \otimes_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{L}\right)^{\mathrm{m}}$ and by the remark preceding the theorem, $\mathrm{SH} \otimes_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{L} \cong \mathrm{SH} \otimes_{\mathrm{S}} \operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{H}}{ }_{\{1\}} \mathrm{L}$ as SH -modules. Now as an abelian group, $\mathrm{L} \cong$ $\oplus_{\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{/} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}}$. Therefore $\mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{L} \cong(\mathrm{SH} \otimes \mathrm{L})^{\mathrm{m}} \cong \oplus_{\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{r}}\left(\left(\mathrm{Z}_{/} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Z}\right) \mathrm{H}\right)^{\mathrm{ma}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ as a SH-module and hence as a ZH -module. For each $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots$, , there exists a ZH -exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH} \rightarrow\left(\left(\mathrm{Z}_{/} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Z}\right) \mathrm{H}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where the map $\mathrm{ZH} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH}$ is multiplication by $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}}$. Thus we get a ZH -exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH}^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH}^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $k=m \Sigma_{i} a_{i}$.

Taking its cohomology, we see that $\mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{L}$ is cohomologically trivial as a ZH-lattice. For any integer $n$ and any subgroup $K$ of $G, H^{n}(K, I \otimes L)$ injects into $H^{n}(H, I \otimes L)$ since $\mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{L}$ is of p-power-order by $[\mathrm{BK}$, Corollary 10.2 , and Theorem 10.3, Chapter III]. Since $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{L})=0, \mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{L}$ is ZG - cohomologically trivial.

We now write sequence (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \oplus \mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M"} \rightarrow \mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow 0 \text { with } \mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime} \text { free. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since M" and $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{I}$ are cohomologically-trivial so is M', thus M' is ZG-projective by [BK, Theorem 8.10, Chapter VI], and by [EM2, Theorem 3.3] it is stably permutation. We add the sequences (1), (3) and form a commutative diagram with (2),

where $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ is the pullback of the maps $\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \oplus \mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{R} \oplus \mathrm{M} " \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \oplus \mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{L}$. Since M" and, R and consequently $\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{R}$, are stably permutation, we have by [CTS, Lemma 8, section 1]

$$
\phi(\mathrm{M}) \oplus \phi\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(\mathrm{ZG}^{-} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}\right) .
$$

Since $M^{\prime}$ is stably permutation, $\phi\left(M^{\prime}\right)=0$, hence $\phi(M)=\phi\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N} M\right)$. The last statement follows by Theorem 1.1.

## Theorem 1.5:

Let M, M' and R be ZG-lattices and assume that $R$ is stably permutation. Suppose that $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{q}}$ for all primes $\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}$. If $\hat{M} \cong \hat{M}^{\prime}$ as $\hat{Z} \mathrm{~N}$-lattices, then $\phi_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{M})=$ $\phi_{G}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.

## Proof:

Let $\mathrm{J}=\left\{\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{Z}^{+}\right.$: q prime, q divides $|\mathrm{G}|$ and $\left.\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}\right\}$. By [CR, Lemma 31.4] there exists a ZG-exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $L$ finite of order prime to each element of $J$, since $M_{q} \cong R_{q}$ for all primes $q \in J$. Let $L=L_{p} \oplus L^{\prime}$, where $L_{p}$ is the p-primary component of $L$. Then $L^{\prime}$ has order prime to the order of $G$, and hence $L^{\prime}$ is cohomologically trivial by [BK, Corollary 10.2, Chapter III]. By Theorem 1.4, we have $\phi_{G}(\mathrm{M})=\phi_{\mathrm{G}}\left(\mathrm{ZG}_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and by a similar argument $\phi_{G}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)=\phi_{\mathrm{G}}\left(\mathrm{ZG}^{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)$.

By [CR, Theorem 30.17] $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}} \cong \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\prime}$ as $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{N}$-lattices, hence M and M ' are in the same genus as ZN -lattices, and thus $\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}$ ' are in the same genus as ZG-lattices. By [BL, Proposition 2, section 2.3], $\left[\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}\right]=$ $\left[Z G \otimes_{Z N} M^{\prime}\right]$, therefore $\phi_{G}\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N} M\right)=\phi_{G}\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N} M^{\prime}\right)$, and $\phi_{G}(M)=\phi_{G}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.

We now apply these results to the flasque class of the ZG -lattice $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$.

## Theorem 1.6:

Let $M$ be a ZG-lattice such that $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{\mathrm{q}}$ for all primes $\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}$, and such that $\hat{G}_{p} \cong \hat{M}$ as $\hat{Z}$ N-lattices. Then the flasque classes of M and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are equal.

## Proof:

By Theorem 1.5, it suffices to show that there exist a stably permutation ZGlattice $R$ such that $\left(G_{p}\right)_{q} \cong R_{q}$ for all primes $q \neq p$. Recall that $G_{p}=A \otimes_{Z} A$, where the defining sequence of the ZG-lattice $A$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow 0 \\
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} & \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For all primes $q \neq p$, this sequence splits, with splitting map $1 \rightarrow(1 / p) \Sigma u_{i}$. Thus

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}} \oplus \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}} \otimes \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}} \otimes \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}}
$$

Since $G_{p}=A \otimes A$, we have

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}} \otimes \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{\mathrm{q}} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}}
$$

Thus

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}} \otimes \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}} \oplus \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{\mathrm{q}} \oplus \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}}
$$

From the definition of $U$, one sees directly that $U \cong Z G / S_{n-1}$ and that as an $S_{n-1^{-}}$ module A is permutation. Thus $\mathrm{U} \otimes \mathrm{A}$ is ZG -permutation.

Now for any $Z G-1 a t t i c e ~ M$ let $M^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{Z}(M, Z)$ be its dual. If $M$ is permutation then $\mathrm{M}^{*}$ is isomorphic to M by [CR, Corollary 10.29]. Therefore

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}} \oplus \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{q}} * \oplus \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}
$$

and hence $\hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong \hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{q}} *$ for all primes $\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}$. By [CR, Proposition 30.17] this implies tha $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}} \cong \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{q}}$ * for all primes $\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}$.

Now by [B3, Proposition 1.1], the lattice $B=A * \otimes A$ has the property that

$$
\mathrm{U} \otimes \mathrm{~A} \oplus \mathrm{Z} \cong \mathrm{~B} \oplus \mathrm{U}
$$

and hence it is stably permutation. Furthermore $B_{q} \cong\left(G_{p}\right)_{q}$ for all primes $q \neq p$, and the result is proved by taking $B=R$.

The following result gives a sufficient condition for an invertible lattice to be stably permutation. The point of interest is that this is a condition on the restriction to $N$ of the Q -class of the lattice, and on its localization at primes $\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}$.

## Theorem 1.7:

Let M be an invertible ZG -lattice. If $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{M}$ is in the Q -class of a projective ZN lattice, then $\phi_{G}\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N} M\right)=0$, that is $Z G \otimes_{Z N} M$ is stably permutation. If also, for all primes $q \neq p$, the q-primary component of $R / M$ is cohomologically trivial, where $R$ is a stably permutation ZG-lattice in the Q-class of $M$, then $\phi_{G}(M)=0$. Thus $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{G}}$ is stably rational over F .

## Proof:

We have a ZN -exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pr} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $\operatorname{Pr}$ projective and L finite. We will now show that L is cohomologically trivial. Let $q$ be a prime. By Swan's theorem [CR, Theorem 32.11], $\mathrm{QPr} \cong \mathrm{QN}^{\mathrm{m}}$ for some positive integer m . So QM is QS -free for any q -Sylow subgroup S of N . Since M is invertible and N is metacyclic, M is in the genus of a free ZS-lattice for each S, by [B2, Theorem 1.1]. Hence M is ZN -cohomologically trivial by [BK, Corollary 10.2 and Theorem 10.3, Chapter III]. By [BK, Theorem 8.10, Chapter VI] M is ZN -projective. Therefore $\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}$ is ZG -projective, and by [EM2, Theorem 3.3] it is stably permutation, so $\phi\left(\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}\right)=0$. If also, for all primes $\mathrm{q} \neq \mathrm{p}$, the q -primary component of $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{M}$ is cohomologically trivial, where R is a stably permutation $Z G$-lattice in the $Q$-class of $M$, then $\phi_{G}(M)=\phi_{G}\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N} M\right)$ by Theorem 1.4. Thus $\phi_{G}(M)=0$. The last statement follows from [B3, Lemma 2.1].

## Theorem 1.8:

If M is a ZN -lattice such that $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{M}$ then $\phi\left(\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}\right)=0$.

## Proof:

The structure of M as a ZN -lattice is given by its structure as a ZC-lattice, since $\mathrm{ZC} \cong \mathrm{ZN} / \mathrm{H}$. A ZC -flasque resolution for M , is also a ZN -flasque resolution. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \rightarrow 0
$$

be this resolution. So P is ZC -permutation, and since E is flasque and C is cyclic, E is ZC-invertible by [EM2, Theorem 1.5]. Hence there exist an invertible module $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ and a permutation module R , such that $\mathrm{E} \oplus \mathrm{E}^{\prime}=\mathrm{R}$. Adding $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ to the last two terms of the sequence we get

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \rightarrow 0
$$

By [CTS, Lemma 7, section 1], $\phi(M)=\phi\left(E^{\prime}\right)$. By [EM1, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3] there exist ZC-permutation modules V and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ and a projective ideal I in ZC such that

$$
\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{V} \cong \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{I} .
$$

So $\phi\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\phi(I)$. By Swan's theorem [CR1, Theorem 32.11] we have $I_{q} \cong Z_{q} C \cong$ $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{H}$ for all primes q. This implies that $\mathrm{ZG}^{\mathrm{ZN}}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{V}\right)$ is in the genus of a ZGpermutation module and by [BL, Proposition 2, section 2.3] $\left[\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right]=0$, therefore $\phi\left(\mathrm{ZG}^{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{M}\right)=0$.

## Corollary 1.9:

If $M$ is any ZN -lattice then $\phi(\mathrm{ZG} \otimes \mathrm{ZCM})=0$.

## Proof:

The same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.8 hold since we are inducing from $C$ which is cyclic, up to $G$.

## Section 2:

We will use the same notation as in section 1 . So $G$ is $S_{p}$, the symmetric group on p letters, and p is a prime. N is the normalizer of a p -Sylow subgroup H of G , thus N is the semi-direct product of H by a cyclic group C of order $\mathrm{p}-1 . \mathrm{H}$ is generated by $h, C$ by $c$, and we have $c h c^{-1}=h^{a}$, where a was defined to be $a$ primitive $(\mathrm{p}-1)$ st root of $1 \bmod \mathrm{p}$.

In section 1 we defined the $Z G$-lattice $A$ by the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $A^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}(A, Z)$ be its dual. It is immediate from its definition that $U \cong Z G / S_{p-1}$, and that $\operatorname{Res}{ }^{G}{ }_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{U} \cong \mathrm{ZH}$. This isomorphism is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH} \\
& \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where H acts in the natural way and $c \cdot h^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{ha}^{\text {ai }}$. It follows that $\mathrm{A} \cong \mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{h}-1)$ as a ZN module. Moreover as a ZH -module A is the augmentation ideal of the group ring $Z H$, and since $H$ is cyclic $A_{p} \cong A_{p} *$ as $Z_{p} H$-modules. Thus $Z_{p} N \otimes_{Z p H} A_{p} \cong$ $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{N} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZpH}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}$. For each $\mathrm{k}=1, . ., \mathrm{p}-1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A} \cong \mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{~h}-1) & \cong \mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{~h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}} \text { as } \mathrm{ZH} \text {-modules } \\
\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~h}-1) & \rightarrow \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathrm{ZN} / \mathrm{H} \cong \mathrm{ZC} \cong \mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{x}] /\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{p}-1}-1\right)$ as ZN -lattices, the decomposition of $\hat{Z} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{H}$ into indecomposables is given by

$$
\hat{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{H} \cong \oplus_{\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1} \hat{\mathrm{Z}}[\mathrm{x}] /\left(\mathrm{x}-\theta^{\mathrm{k}}\right) \cong \oplus_{\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

where $\theta$ is a primitive $(\mathrm{p}-1)$ st root of 1 in $\hat{Z}$ which is congruent to a $\bmod \mathrm{p}$, and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the trivial $\hat{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{N}$-module of $\hat{Z}$ rank 1 with trivial H action, and such that $\mathrm{c} 1=\theta^{\mathrm{k}}$.

## Notation:

- For $\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1$, we set $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}=\hat{Z} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}}$.
- For $\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1$, we set $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}=\hat{\mathrm{A}} * \otimes \hat{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}}$.
- For $\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1$, we set $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{p} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}$.


## Theorem 2.1:

For each $k=1, \ldots, p-1$ we have $\hat{A}^{*} \otimes Z_{k} \cong A_{k}$ and $G_{k} \cong \hat{A}^{*} \otimes A_{k}$.

## Proof:

By [B3, Lemma 1.2] there exits a ZN -exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tensoring by $Z_{k}$, and using the fact that for each $k$, the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{~h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{~h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact, with the map $\mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ given by $(\mathrm{h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow 1$, we get the following commutative diagram
where $M$ is the pullback of the maps $\hat{A}^{*} \otimes Z_{k} \rightarrow X_{k}$ and $\hat{Z} H(h-1)^{k} \rightarrow X_{k}$. We now show that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{H}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A})=0$ which will imply that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{H}(\hat{\mathrm{~A}}, \hat{\mathrm{~A}})$ since H is of order p , and hence that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{H}\left(\hat{A} \otimes Z_{k}, \hat{Z} H(h-1)^{k+1}\right)=0$. Consider the $Z H$-sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

We have

$$
\ldots \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{ZH}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{ZH}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \ldots
$$

Since ZH is ZH-free this becomes

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}(\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

But $\operatorname{Ext}^{2}{ }_{H}(Z, A)=H^{2}(H, A)$. Since $H$ is cyclic $H^{2}(H, A)=H^{0}(H, A)$, and $H^{0}(H, A)=0$, since $A^{H}=0$. The middle vertical sequence splits since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{A}} \otimes \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}, \hat{Z} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}+1}\right)$ injects into $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{A}} \otimes \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}, \hat{Z} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}+1}\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}(\hat{A}, \hat{A})=0$. Similarily $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\hat{Z} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}+1}, \hat{\mathrm{~A}} \otimes \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=0$, so

$$
\hat{A} \otimes Z_{k} \oplus \hat{Z} H(h-1)^{k} \cong \hat{A}^{*} \otimes Z_{k} \oplus \hat{Z} H(h-1)^{k+1} .
$$

It is clear that $\hat{A} \otimes Z_{k}$ and $\hat{A} \otimes Z_{k+1}$ are not isomorphic as $\hat{Z} N$-lattices. Since all these modules are indecomposable the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya implies that $\hat{Z} H(h-1)^{k} \cong \hat{A}^{*} \otimes Z_{k}$. Thus $A_{k} \cong \hat{A}^{*} \otimes Z_{k}$. Tensoring by $\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{*}$, we get $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ $\hat{A}^{*} \otimes \hat{Z} H(h-1)^{k} \cong \hat{A}^{*} \otimes \hat{A}_{k}$.

## Remark:

Under the above notation we have $\hat{\mathrm{A}} \cong \hat{Z} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{h}-1) \cong \hat{\mathrm{A}} * \otimes \mathrm{Z}_{1}$. Recall that $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the ZG-lattice defined in section 1 , having the property that the center $C_{p}$ is stably isomorphic to $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{\mathrm{G}}$, is equal to $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{A}$. Therefore $\hat{G}_{p} \cong \hat{\mathrm{~A}} * \otimes \mathrm{Z}_{1} \otimes \hat{\mathrm{~A}} * \otimes \mathrm{Z}_{1} \cong$ $\hat{\mathrm{A}} * \otimes \hat{\mathrm{~A}}^{*} \otimes \mathrm{Z}_{2} \cong \hat{\mathrm{~A}} * \otimes \mathrm{~A}_{2} \cong \mathrm{G}_{2}$.

We will denote the ZN -lattice $\mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{h}-1)^{2}$ by $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$.

## Theorem 2.2:

1)Set $J=A^{*} \otimes A^{\prime}$. Then $J$ and $G_{p}$ are in the same genus as $Z N$-modules. Furthermore the fields $F\left(J \oplus A^{\prime}\right)$ and $F(Z N)$ are isomorphic as $N$-fields.
2) The field $F\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N}\left(G_{p} \oplus A^{\prime}\right)\right)^{G} \quad$ is stably rational over $F$.
3) $\phi_{G}\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N} A^{\prime}\right)=\left[G_{p}\right]$.

## Proof:

From the ZN-exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{~h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZH}(\mathrm{~h}-1)^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow 0
$$

we have that $A_{q}{ }^{\prime} \cong Z_{q} H(h-1)^{2} \cong A_{q}$ for all primes $q$ different from $p$, since $X_{k}$ is of order p . Dualizing the defining sequence for A , we get ZN -sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}^{*} \rightarrow 0
$$

Tensoring by $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ over Z we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZN} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $J_{q} \cong A^{*}{ }_{q} \otimes A_{q} \cong A_{q} \otimes A_{q} \cong A^{*}{ }_{q} \otimes A^{\prime}{ }_{q} \cong\left(G_{p}\right)_{q}$ for all primes $q$ different from p , and by Thereom $2.1 \hat{G}_{p} \cong \hat{J}$, therefore J and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are in the same genus. This implies that J is invertible by [B1, Theorem A]. By applying [B3, Lemma 2.1] to sequence (1) we get $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{J} \oplus \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{ZN})$ are isomorphic as N -fields. This proves $1)$.

Tensoring (1) by ZG over ZN we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZG} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZG}_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{~J} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [B3, Lemma 2.1], $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{ZG})$ and $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}}\left(\mathrm{J} \oplus \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are stably isomorphic as Gfields. Since $J$ and $G_{p}$ are in the same genus as $Z N$-lattices, $Z G \otimes_{Z N} J$ and $\mathrm{ZG} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are in the same class, by [BL, Propostion 2, section 2.3]. Therefore $F(Z G)$ and $F\left(Z G \otimes_{Z N}\left(G_{p} \oplus A^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are stably isomorphic as G-fields. Again by [B3, Lemma 2.1] $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{ZG}_{\mathrm{ZN}}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} \oplus \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{G}}$ is stably rational over F . This proves 2).
Finally from sequence (2) we have $\phi\left(\mathrm{ZG}^{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)=\left[\mathrm{ZG}_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{J}\right]=\left[\mathrm{ZG}_{\mathrm{ZN}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]$.

## Notation:

For $\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1$, let $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}=\hat{U} \otimes Z_{k}$. Under this notation $\hat{U}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{p}-1}$.

## Theorem 2.3:

The decomposition of $\hat{G}_{p}$ into indecomposable $\hat{Z} \mathrm{~N}$-modules is given by

$$
\hat{G}_{p} \cong \oplus_{\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots \mathrm{p}-2} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}} \oplus \mathrm{Z}_{1}
$$

Any invertible ZG-lattice in the flasque class of $G_{p}$ will have the same $\hat{Z} N$ decomposition up to stable isomorphism, that is isomorphism modulo permutation modules.

## Proof:

We have $\mathrm{U} \cong \mathrm{ZN} / \mathrm{C}$ as a ZN -lattice, and $\mathrm{U} \otimes \mathrm{A} \cong \mathrm{ZN} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZC}} \operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{C} \mathrm{~A}$. A Z-basis for A is $\left\{h^{\mathrm{i}}-1: \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1\right\}$ and this basis is permuted by C . It follows directly that A is ZC-free. Thus

$$
\mathrm{U} \otimes \mathrm{~A} \cong \mathrm{ZN} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZC}} \operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{~A} . \cong \mathrm{ZN} \otimes_{\mathrm{ZC}} \mathrm{ZC} \cong \mathrm{ZN} .
$$

Let $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A} * \otimes \mathrm{~A}$. By Theorem 2.2, $\hat{B}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ as a $\hat{Z} \mathrm{~N}$ - module. By [B3, Proposition 1.1], we have $\mathrm{B} \oplus \mathrm{U} \cong \mathrm{U} \otimes \mathrm{A} \oplus \mathrm{Z}$ as ZG-lattices. Thus as $\hat{Z} N$ lattices

$$
\mathrm{G}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{U}_{0} \cong \hat{z} \oplus \hat{z} \mathrm{~N}
$$

Tensoring by $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ over Z , we get

$$
\mathrm{G}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{U}_{1} \cong \mathrm{Z}_{1} \oplus \hat{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~N}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\hat{G}_{p} \oplus \mathrm{U}_{1} \cong \mathrm{Z}_{1} \oplus \hat{Z} \mathrm{~N}
$$

Since $\hat{Z} \mathrm{~N} \cong \hat{Z} \mathrm{H} \otimes \hat{Z} \mathrm{C} \cong \hat{\mathrm{U}} \otimes\left(\oplus_{\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots \mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \cong \oplus_{\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots \mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}$, we have

$$
\hat{G}_{p} \cong \oplus_{\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots \mathrm{p}-2} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}} \oplus \mathrm{Z}_{1} .
$$

For the second statement note that since $G_{p}$ is invertible by [BL, Proposition 3, section 3.1], $\phi\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=-\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]$. If I is an invertible ZG -lattice in the flasque class of $G_{p}$, then $\phi(I)=-[I]=\phi\left(G_{p}\right)=-\left[G_{p}\right]$, hence $\left[G_{p}\right]=[I]$. Thus there exist permutation ZG-lattices $P$ and $R$ such that

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} \oplus \mathrm{P} \cong \mathrm{I} \oplus \mathrm{R} .
$$

and the result follows.

It is of interest to note that all summands in this decomposition are $\hat{Z} N$ projective except $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ which is H-trivial. However, by Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 we know that there can be no ZG-lattice $M$ in the flasque class of $G_{p}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}{ }^{\mathrm{G}}{ }_{\mathrm{N}} \hat{M}$ is the sum of a projective lattice and an H-trivial lattice, since $\phi\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \neq 0$ for $\mathrm{p} \geq 5$ by [BL, Corollary 1, section 3.2].
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